PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,
UNDER CONTRACT DE-AC02-76CH03073

PPPL-3938
UC-70

PPPL-3938

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Annual Site Environmental Report for Calendar Year 2001

by

Virginia L. Finley

April 2004

PPPL
PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY



PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

ANNUAL SITE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT
FOR
CALENDAR YEAR 2001

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
P.O. Box 451
Princeton, New Jersey 08543

Prepared by: Virginia L. Finley
Operated by Princeton University
For the U.S. Department of Energy
Under Contract DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073

This page is intentionally blank.

Table of Contents

Page

List of Acronyms

AEA	Atomic Energy Act of 1954
ALARA	as low as reasonably achievable
APEC	area of potential environmental concern
ARD	America Recycles Day (November 15th annually)
AST	above-ground storage tank
B1, B2	Bee Brook 1 (upstream of DSN001) and 2 (downstream of DSN001) (surface water stations)
BPX	Burning Plasma Experiment
Bq	Becquerel
BTEX	Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes
C	C site of James Forrestal Campus, part of PPPL site
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAAA	Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990
CAS	Coil Assembly and Storage Building
CASL	Calibration and Service Laboratory
CDX-U	Current Drive Experiment – Upgrade (at PPPL)
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CFCs	chlorofluorocarbons
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
Ci	Curie (3.7 ^{E10} Becquerel)
cm	centimeter
COD	chemical oxygen demand
CPO	chlorine produced oxidants as known as total residual chlorine
CS	C site stellarator (PPPL)
CWA	Clean Water Act
CY	calendar year
D	deuterium
D&D	deconstruction and decontamination
D-D	deuterium-deuterium
D-T	deuterium-tritium
D-11, D-12	detention basin monitoring wells number 11 and 12
DATS	differential atmospheric tritium sampler
DMR	discharge monitoring report
DOE	Department of Energy
DOE-CH	Department of Energy - Chicago Operations Office
DOE-EH	Department of Energy – Environment, Safety and Health
DOE-EM	Department of Energy – Environmental Management
DOE-HQ	Department of Energy - Headquarters
DOE-OFES	Department of Energy - Office of Fusion Energy Sciences
DOE-PAO	Department of Energy - Princeton Area Office
D&R	Delaware & Raritan (Canal)
DRCC	Delaware & Raritan Canal Commission
DSN	discharge serial number
E1	Elizabethtown Water (Potable water supplier – surface water station)
EA	Environmental Assessment
EDE	effective dose equivalent
EHS	Environment, Health & Safety
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EM-30	Waste Management - DOE
EM-40	Environmental Restoration - DOE
EML	Environmental Monitoring Laboratory (DOE)
EMS	Environmental Management System
EO	Executive Order
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency (US)
EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act
ERDA	Energy Research and Development Agency, DOE predecessor agency
ER/WM	Environmental Restoration/Waste Management (PPPL)
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ES&H	Environment, Safety, and Health
FABA	Former Annex Building Area
F&EM	Facilities and Environmental Management Division (PPPL)
FCPC	Field Coil Power Conversion Building
FFCA	Federal Facility Compliance Act
FIFRA	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FSAR	Final Safety Analysis Report
FSCD	Freehold Soil Conservation District (Middlesex and Monmouth Counties)
g	gram

List of Acronyms

GBq	giga Becquerel or 10^9Bq
GCUA	Gloucester County Utility Authority
GP	General Permit (Wetlands)
gdp	gallons per day
GPMP	Ground water Protection and Monitoring Program
GWPP	Ground Water Protection Plan
GW	ground water
H-3	tritium
HAPs	Hazardous Air Pollutants
HMSF	Hazardous Material Storage Facility
HQ	Headquarters
HT	tritium (elemental)
HTO	tritiated water
HVAC	heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning
ICRF	Ion Cyclotron Radio Frequency
IC_{25}	inhibition concentration 25 percent
ISM	Integrated Safety Management
ISO14001	International Standards Organization 14001 (Environmental Management System – EMS)
JFC	James Forrestal Campus
km	kilometer
kV	kilovolt (thousand volts)
LEC	liquid effluent collection (tanks)
LEPC	Local Emergency Planning Committee
LSB	Lyman Spitzer Building (Formerly Laboratory Office Building)
LOI	Letter of Interpretation (Wetlands)
LLW	Low level waste (radiological waste)
m	meter
M1	Millstone River (surface water station)
MC&A	Material Control & Accountability (nuclear materials)
MCHD	Middlesex County Health Department
MeV	million electron volts
MG	Motor Generator (Building)
mg/L	milligram per liter
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
mrem	milli radiation equivalent man
mR/h	milliRoentgen per hour
MRX	Magnetic Reconnection Experiment
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet
m/s	meters per second
msl	mean sea level
mSv	milliSievert
MT	metric ton (equivalent to 2,204.6 pounds or 1.10 tons)
MW	monitoring well
n	neutron
N or N-	Nitrogen
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NB	Neutral beam
NBPC	Neutral Beam Power Conversion building
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NESHAPs	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NHPA	National Historic and Preservation Act
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NJAC	New Jersey Administrative Code
NJDEP	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (prior to 1991 and after July 1994)
NJPDES	New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOEC	no observable effect concentration
NOV	notice of violation
NOx	nitrogen oxides
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPL	National Priorities List
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRC	National Response Center
NSTX	National Spherical Torus Experiment
nSv	nanoSievert
NTS	Nevada Test Site (DOE site)
OH	ohmic heating
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Agency

List of Acronyms

P1, P2	Plainsboro 1 (Cranbury Brook) and 2 (Devil's Brook) (surface water stations)
PBX-M	Princeton Beta Experiment - Modification
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PCE	perchloroethylene, tetrachloroethene, or tetrachloroethylene
pCi/L	picoCuries per liter
PEARL	Princeton Environmental. Analytical, and Radiological Laboratory
PFC	Princeton Forrestal Center
PLT	Princeton Large Torus
POTWs	publicly owned treatment works
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	part per million
PPPL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
PSTP	Proposed Site Treatment Plan for the Federal Facility Compliance Act
PTE	potential to emit (air emissions)
RAA	Remedial Alternative Assessment
RACT	reasonably achievable control technology
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
REAM	remote environmental atmospheric monitoring (station)
REML	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Laboratory
RESA	Research Equipment Storage and Assembly Building
RI	Remedial Investigation
RMS	Remote Monitoring Station
RQ	reportable quantity
RWHF	Radiological Waste Handling Facility
S or S-	Sulfur
SAD	Safety Assessment Document
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986
SBRSA	Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SERC	State Emergency Response Commission
SF_6	sulfur hexafluoride
SPCC	Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure
T	tritium
TBq	tera Becquerel or 10^{12}Bq
TCA	trichloroethane
TCE	trichloroethene or trichloroethylene
TCLP	toxic characteristic leaching procedure (RCRA)
TDS	total dissolved solids
TFTR	Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor
TPH	total petroleum hydrocarbons
TRI	Toxic Reduction Inventory (CERCLA)
TPX	Tokamak Physics Experiment
TSCA	Toxic Substance Control Act
TSDS	tritium storage and delivery system
TSS	total suspended solids
TW	test wells
TWA	treatment works approval
UIC	underground injection control
USDA	US Department of Agriculture
USGS	US Geological Survey
USEPA	US Environmental Protection Agency
UST	underground storage tanks
VOCs	volatile organic compounds
χ/Q	atmospheric dilution factor (NOAA)
$\mu g/L$	micrograms per liter
μSv	microSievert

List of Exhibits Contained in the Text

Exhibit #	Title	Page

NOTE: ***Data tables are located in Appendix A beginning on page 66.***

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL)

Certification of Monitoring Data for

Annual Site Environmental Report for 2001

Contained in the following report are data for radioactivity in the environment collected and analyzed by Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory's Princeton Environmental, Analytical, and Radiological Laboratory (PEARL). The PEARL is located on-site and is certified for analyzing radiological and non-radiological parameters through the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection's Laboratory Certification Program, Certification Number 12471. Non-radiological surface and ground water samples are analyzed by NJDEP certified subcontractor laboratories – Accutest, QC, Inc. and Reliance Laboratory. To the best of our knowledge, these data, as contained in the "Annual Site Environmental Report for 2001," are documented and certified to be correct.

Signed:

Virginia L. Finley,
Head, Environmental Compliance
Materiel & Environmental Services Division

Jerry D. Levine,
Head, Environment. Safety, & Health Division

Approved:

Robert S. Sheneman
Head, Materiel & Environmental Services Division

J.W. Anderson,
Head, ES&H and Infrastructure
Support Department

EARTH DAY 2001



Each year as I prepare the Annual Site Environmental Report, I try to keep my audience in the forefront. To whom is this document intended to reach, to inform, and to guide in the understanding of fusion energy? How are they to know of PPPL's mission – a dependable supply of electricity, safety of the public and health of the environment? Still the answer must be – it's for our children and their children to whom we must convey the importance of this message.

Judging by these wonderfully visual, informative, and creative posters representing more than 400 children's posters, the message is clearly received!

THANK YOU TO ALL WHO PARTICIPATED AND WHO REMEMBERED EARTH DAY

2001 Participating Schools

Antheil Elementary School, Ewing., NJ 4th year
Corpus Christi School, Willingboro NJ, 4th year
Grace N. Rogers School, East Windsor Reg. NJ, 4th year
Parkway Elementary, Trenton NJ, 2nd year
Thomas Grover Middle School, West Windsor-Plainsboro, NJ, 1st year
Toll Gate Grammar School, Pennington NJ, 5th year
Timberlane Middle School, Hopewell NJ, 4th year
Terrill Middle School, Scotch Plains NJ, 3rd year

EARTH DAY 2001







EARTH DAY 2001





EARTH DAY 2001





Chapter 1

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

Annual Site Environmental Report for Calendar Year 2001

Executive Summary

This report presents the results of environmental activities and monitoring programs at the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL) for Calendar Year 2001. The report is prepared to provide the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the public with information on the level of radioactive and non-radioactive pollutants, if any, that are added to the environment as a result of PPPL operations. The report also summarizes environmental initiatives, assessments, and programs that were undertaken in 2001. The objective of the Annual Site Environmental Report is to document PPPL's efforts to protect the public's health and the environment through its environmental protection, safety, and health programs.

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory has engaged in fusion energy research since 1951. The reaction occurring in our sun as well as in other stars is fusion. In a fusion reaction, the nuclei of hydrogen atoms, in a plasma state, fuse or join to form helium atoms, causing a release of neutrons and energy. Unlike the sun, PPPL's fusion reactions are magnetically confined within a vessel or reactor under vacuum conditions. The long-range goal of the U.S. Magnetic Fusion Energy Research Program is to develop and demonstrate the practical application of fusion power as a safe, alternative energy source.

The National Spherical Torus Experiment Heated by Neutral Beam Injection



Calendar Year 2001 marked the third year of the National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX) operations and the third year of the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor (TFTR) dismantlement.

From groundbreaking in May 1998 to the creation of the first plasma on February 12, 1999, the National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX) was completed within budget and ahead of the target schedule. PPPL re-used the former TFTR Hot Cell of D-site to house NSTX, which contributed to the cost savings. For the NSTX collaborators, the project was a major effort to produce a smaller, more economical fusion reactor or volumetric neutron source.

The NSTX program is a national collaboration; the following institutions are NSTX research participants:

Columbia University
Fusion Physics & Technology, Inc.
General Atomics
Johns Hopkins University
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Los Alamos National Laboratory
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Sandia National Laboratory
University of California at Davis
University of California at Los Angeles
University of California at San Diego
University of Washington at Seattle

PPPL's TFTR was kept in a safe, shutdown mode following fifteen years of operation (1982-1997). In 1999, a multi-year project to dismantle TFTR began. Previous milestones of TFTR included achieving a world power record of approximately 10.7 million watts of controlled fusion power during the deuterium-tritium plasma (D-T) experiments.

In 2001, TFTR deconstruction and dismantlement (D&D) project was well in-progress; with diagnostic equipment, electrical power cables, ductwork, and other ancillary equipment removed from around the tokamak, the vacuum vessel itself could be dismantled. As seen in the photograph on this page, the vacuum vessel is being cut apart using a diamond wire saw. Ten segments were needed to completely dismantle the tokamak.

The Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor Vessel Cut into Segments



To further strengthen the idea that fusion will provide an environmentally attractive and economically viable energy option for the next century, PPPL continued experimentation and associated environmental monitoring programs.

In 2001, PPPL's radiological environmental monitoring program measured tritium in the air at on-site and off-site sampling stations. PPPL is capable of detecting small changes in the ambient levels of tritium by using highly sensitive monitors. The operation of an in-stack monitor located on D-site is a requirement of the National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) regulations with limits set by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Also included in PPPL's radiological environmental monitoring program, are precipitation, surface, ground, and wastewater monitoring.

The dose results of the radiological monitoring program for 2001 were as follows:

1. Total maximum off-site dose from all sources—airborne and liquid releases—was 0.62 mrem/year.

2. Dose at the nearest business due to airborne releases was 0.61 mrem/year.
3. The collective effective dose equivalent for the population living within 80 kilometers was 5.27 person-rem.

The total maximum off-site dose is the lowest since 1993, prior to D-T experiments. Both dose #1 and #2 are a small fraction of the 10-mrem/year PPPL objective and the 100-mrem/year DOE limit.

PPPL's 2001 Pollution Prevention and Community Outreach Programs included Earth Day and America Recycles Day events. In April 2001, PPPL conducted a poster contest involving local middle school students (see Posters in Preface of this report). In November 2001, PPPL celebrated America Recycles Day with the presentation of 10 "Green Machine" awards that were given to staff members whose efforts have contributed greatly to the Laboratory's progress in Recycling and Buying Recycled Products. PPPL's Pollution Prevention Program seeks to:

1. Monitor usage of recycled material *versus* non-recycled material.
2. Reduce hazardous waste disposal by 65% and solid waste by 61% through actively seeking recyclers for these waste materials.

PPPL's Community Outreach Program included facilities tours given to school, governmental, service, and private groups, representation at numerous community events, Science on Saturday seminars for the general public, and participation through the Science Education Program, in local schools and at professional meetings.

PPPL's non-radiological environmental monitoring program demonstrates compliance with applicable environmental requirements. The program includes monthly surface water monitoring and annual chronic toxicity testing. Quarterly ground-water sampling and twice-annual samples from the detention basin inflows were discontinued in June 2001.

In 2001, PPPL concluded a remedial investigation and remedial alternative assessment (RI/RAA) for C-and D-sites of the James Forrestal Campus. This is land leased to the Department of Energy (DOE) by Princeton University. Since 1989, ground-water investigations have revealed volatile organic compound (VOC) contamination (most likely from solvents) at low levels in three locations. PPPL's remedial action work plan relies on existing building drains for containment and extraction and includes monitoring and reporting of quarterly sampling of selected wells.

Through its Integrated Safety Management (ISM) program, PPPL emphasizes environment, safety, and health (ES&H) in accordance with DOE requirements at the facility. The Laboratory is expected to continue excelling in ES&H as it has in its fusion research program. Efforts are geared not only to full compliance with applicable local, state, and federal regulations, but also to achieve a level of excellence in ES&H performance. PPPL is an institution that serves other research facilities and the nation with valuable information gathered from its fusion research program. ☼

To view current activities and news about PPPL, visit http://www.pppl.gov

Chapter 2

Introduction

2.1 Site Mission

The U.S. Department of Energy's Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL) is a Collaborative National Center for plasma and fusion science. Its primary mission is to develop scientific understanding and key innovations leading to an attractive fusion energy source [PPPL98a]. Related missions include conducting world-class research along the broad frontier of plasma science and providing the highest quality of scientific education and experimentation.

At PPPL, the National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX) is a collaborative project among 14 Department of Energy National Laboratories, universities, and institutions. Also located at PPPL are smaller experimental devices, such as the Magnetic Reconnection Experiment (MRX) and the Current Drive Experiment-Upgrade (CDX-U), which investigate plasma physics phenomena.

As a part of off-site collaborative projects, PPPL scientists assist fusion programs both in the United States and other countries. Particularly, PPPL collaborated with the Koreans in their K-Star program and with the European community at the Joint European Torus (JET) facility located in the United Kingdom, to further fusion science.

2.2 Site Location

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory site is in the center of a highly urbanized region of the Northeast region. The closest urban centers are New Brunswick, 14 miles to the northeast, and Trenton, 12 miles to the southwest. Major surrounding cities, including New York City, Philadelphia, and Newark, are within 50 miles of the site.

As shown in Exhibit 2-1, the site is located in Plainsboro Township within Middlesex County (central New Jersey), which includes the municipalities of Princeton, Kingston, West Windsor, and Cranbury, NJ. The Princeton area continues to experience a substantial increase in new businesses locating along the Route 1 corridor near the site. Also, the main campus of Princeton University, primarily located within the Borough of Princeton, is approximately three miles to the west of the site.

In the early 1950's, Dr. Lyman Spitzer's vision for plasma physics culminated in Project Matterhorn, which gained approval of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Its mission was to contain and harness the nuclear burning of hydrogen at temperatures exceeding those found in the sun. Dr. Spitzer became known as the father of the "Stellarator" and was PPPL Director until 1961. Named for Dr. Spitzer's A, B, and C stellarators, PPPL was first located on A- and B-sites of the James Forrestal Campus; and in 1959, PPPL moved to its present location at C-site. In the late 1970's, D-site became the home of the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor (TFTR), which is currently being dismantled, and is also the home of the National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX) (Exhibit 2-2).

Exhibit 2-1. Region Surrounding PPPL (50-mile radius shown)



Undisturbed areas surrounding the site include upland forest, wetlands, open grassy areas, cultivated fields, and a minor stream (Bee Brook), which flows along its eastern boundary. These areas are designated as open space in the JFC site development plan. The following aerial photo (Exhibit 2-3) shows the general layout of the facilities at the C- and D-sites of Forrestal Campus as viewed from the north; TFTR and NSTX are located at D-site (on the left side of photo).



Exhibit 2-2. PPPL James Forrestal Campus

Exhibit 2-3. Aerial View of PPPL

D-site is fully surrounded with a barbed -wire, chain-linked fence for securely purposed. PPPL openly operates C-site, allowing the public access for educational purposes. This free access to C-site warranted a thorough evaluation of on-site discharges, as well as the potential for off-site releases of radioactive and non-radioactive effluents. To maintain free access to C-site, PPPL instituted an extensive monitoring program that was expanded in recent years. The PPPL radiological environmental monitoring program generally follows the guidance given in two DOE reports; *A Guide for: Environmental Radiological Surveillance at U.S. Department of Energy Installations [Co81]* and *Environmental Dose Assessment Methods for Normal Operations at DOE Nuclear Sites (PNL-4410)* [St82].

2.3 General Environmental Setting

The climate of central New Jersey is classified as mid-latitude, rainy climate with mild winters, hot summers, and no dry season. Temperatures range from below zero to above 100 degrees Fahrenheit (°F), -17.8°Celsius (C) to 37.8° C; extreme temperatures typically occur once every five years. Approximately half the year, from late April until mid-October, the days are freeze-free.

Normally, the climate is moderately humid with a total average precipitation of 46.5 inches (118 cm) evenly distributed throughout the year. Droughts typically occur about once every 15 years [PSAR78]. In 2001, the annual rainfall, 32.77 inches (93.24 cm), was well below the average rainfall for central New

Jersey; this below-average level was primarily due to a relatively dry summer (July through September) and an extremely dry autumn when in October and November less than 1 inch (2.5 cm) of precipitation was recorded during each month (Appendix A, Table 2) [Ch02].

An archaeological survey was conducted in 1978 as part of the TFTR site environmental assessment study. From historical records, personal interviews, and field investigations one projectile point and a stone cistern were found. Apparently, the site had limited occupation during prehistoric time and has only in recent times been actively used for farming. There are examples of prehistoric occupation in areas closer to the Millstone River, which are within a mile of the site [Gr77].

2.4 Primary Operations and Activities

The fusion experiments, such as NSTX, MRX, or CDX-U, currently in operation at PPPL, do not generate tritium releases. Having used tritium in its experiments from 1994 to 1997, TFTR is the tritium source that is being monitored in air and water samples. Though TFTR has not operated since April 1997, dismantling activities continued resulting in releases to the stack. When TFTR is fully dismantled, the area will be vacant, availing the Test Cell for a new device. Many of the TFTR support systems are being secured for future use.

Next door to the TFTR Test Cell is the NSTX Test Cell. Since its start-up in February 1999, NSTX has consistently exceeded its target milestones.

NSTX produced one million amperes of plasma current, setting a new world record for a spherical torus device. This device is designed to test the physics principles of spherical-shaped plasmas forming a sphere with a hole through its center. Plasma shaping is an important parameter to plasma stability and performance ultimately enabling viable fusion power.

2.5 Relevant Demographic Information

A demographic study of the surrounding 31.1 miles (50 kilometers) was completed in 1987 as part of the Environmental Assessment for the proposed Burning Plasma Experiment (BPX), which was also known as Compact Ignition Tokamak (CIT) [Be87a]. Other information gathered and updated from previous TFTR studies include socioeconomic information [Be87b] and an ecological survey [En87], which were studies describing pre-TFTR conditions. ✵

Chapter 3

2001 COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

3.1 Environmental Restoration and Waste Management

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory's (PPPL) environmental goal is full compliance with all applicable state, federal, and local environmental regulations. As a part of PPPL's Project Mission Statement, PPPL initiates actions that enhance its compliance efforts and fully documents meeting the requirements. The process of compliance with each applicable federal, state, and local environmental statute or regulation, and executive and DOE orders [DOE90] are discussed in this chapter.

Department of Energy-Princeton Area Office (DOE-PAO) annually performs a review of one aspect of PPPL's Environmental Permitting Program. [DOE-PAO]. The conclusion of the review conducted in 2000 was that the overall environmental permitting process was well defined and functioning without difficulty. Future actions included listing of current permits on PPPL's web page to be updated annually; hard copies of monitoring data loaded into an electronic database; electronic submittals of data when such applications are developed; and the procedure for Environmental Permits completed and posted on ERWM's web page.

3.1.1 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)

During 2001, PPPL had no involvement with CERCLA-mandated cleanup actions. Resulting from the 1991 assessment by Department of Energy – Headquarters' (DOE-HQ) environmental team, known as the Tiger Team, an action plan was developed to conduct a more comprehensive documentation of past CERCLA hazardous substances releases. A CERCLA inventory was completed in 1993 [Dy93], and no further CERCLA actions were warranted.

3.1.2 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and Solid Waste

The Laboratory complies with all the requirements of a hazardous waste generator. In 2001, PPPL shipped off site approximately 14.68 tons (13.32 metric tons) of waste to facilities permitted to treat, store, or dispose of hazardous wastes and 70.8 tons (64.23 MT) to recycling facilities [Pu02b]. Summaries of PPPL's annual hazardous waste generation rates and waste reduction/recycling efforts are presented in Exhibits 3-1 and 3-2.

Exhibit 3-1. Hazardous Waste Quantity Comparisons 1997-2001

MT = metric tons = 2,204.6 lbs

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Tons	7.8	81.98	63.11	82.74	14.68
Metric tons	7.08	74.37	57.25	75.06	13.32
Largest Qty.	**Hazardous Waste**	**Hazardous Waste**	**Hazardous Waste**	**Hazardous Waste**	**Hazardous Waste**
1	RCRA-regulated, flammable liquids	Oil-contaminated soil (recycled)	Lead (recycled)	Lead (recycled)	Solids- Frit containing Barium, Rags w/ Naphtha,Sludges
2	Batteries containing acid (hazardous under RCRA),	Electronic and computer scrap (recycled)	Electronic and computer scrap (recycled)	RCRA –regulated Misc. lab waste, solvents	Oil- Waste oil, clean up debris, transformer oil
3	Potassium permanganate/ sodium hydroxide from REML/PEARL	Mercury from ignitrons (switches) & fluorescent lamps (recycled)	(CFC) R-11, R-500, & R-502 (recycled)	Batteries--recycled (containing acid (hazardous under RCRA)	Flammable/Corrosive Liquids- Acrylic Emulsion, Lab wastes

Exhibit 3-2. 2001 Waste Reduction

View PPPL Pollution Prevention accomplishments @http://tis.eh.doe.gov/p2/wastemin/RecycleRpt.Asp

Type	Source	Amount	Fate
TSCA Waste	Asbestos	195 cu. yds.	**Landfill**
	PCBs	0.21 MT	***Incinerate***
	Ballasts incl. Ballasts (PCBs)	1.71 MT	**Recycled**
Hazardous Waste	Oil and oily debris	3.08 MT	**Treated**
	Misc. lab wastes, solvents	2.46 MT	***Incinerate***
	Frit w/barium, solids	5.40 MT	**Landfill**
	Batteries (includes lead acid batteries in emergency lighting)	1.63 MT	**Recycled**
	Refrigerants	0.04 MT	**Recycled**
	Electronic and computer scrap	9.83 MT	**Recycled**
	Fluorescent lamps (contain Hg)	3.09 MT	**Recycled**
	Lead	0.88MT	**Recycled**
	Mercury	1.51 MT	**Recycled**
	Recycled / Total Hazardous Waste	**64.80 %**	
Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) [Kin02a]	Front end trash	118.32 MT	**Landfill**
	Construction waste	53.42 MT	**Landfill**
	Concrete	20.65 MT	**Recycled**
	Paper (mixed)	17.86 MT	**Recycled**
	Cardboard	42.44 MT	**Recycled**
	Aluminum & glass (bottles & cans)	16.08 MT	**Recycled**
	Wood	10.64 MT	**Recycled**
	Metals – aluminum & stainless steel	28.74 MT	**Recycled**
	Metals copper & wiring	29.53 MT	**Recycled**
	Metals - iron	89.29 MT	**Reused**
	Computer/electronic scrap	9.49 MT	**Recycled**
	Office supplies	0.17 MT	**Recycled**
	Recycled + Reused / Total MSW	**60.94%**	**MT/ MT * 100**

PPPL is also in compliance with the requirements of the RCRA-mandated Underground Storage Tank (UST) Program. Since 1995, PPPL has taken all underground storage tanks out of service. PPPL submitted a Site Assessment Report as part of the Remedial Investigation and Remedial Alternative Assessment (RI/RAA) Report in March 1997 [HLA97]. NJDEP issued a "No Further Action" determination for the UST closure in a letter to Princeton University, dated March 28, 2000. All UST-related actions have been completed.

3.1.3 Federal Facility Compliance Act (FFCA)

The Federal Facility Compliance Act (FFCA) requires the Department of Energy (DOE) to prepare "Site Treatment Plans" for the treatment of mixed waste, which is waste containing both hazardous and radioactive components. Based on the possibility of the site generating mixed waste, which could require treatment on site, PPPL was identified on the list of DOE sites that would be included in the FFCA process [PPPL95]. In 1995, PPPL prepared its proposed "Site Treatment Plan (STP) for Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL)." PPPL does not generate mixed waste.

PPPL developed an approach where any potential mixed waste would be treated in the original accumulation container within 90 days of generation of the hazardous waste. This treatment option was discussed with the State of New Jersey and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region II regulators, who were in agreement with this approach. Based on their agreement, this approach will keep PPPL in compliance with the applicable Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Land Disposal Restrictions. DOE will provide the state and EPA with annual updates and will keep the regulators apprised of activities. If mixed wastes were generated that could not be treated in original accumulation containers, PPPL would notify the regulators and provide them with a revised "Site Treatment Plan" [PPPL95].

3.1.4 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

Forty-six (46) PPPL activities received NEPA reviews in 2001, with all of these determined to be categorical exclusions (CX) according to the NEPA regulations and guidelines of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) and DOE, or covered in a previously approved environmental assessment (EA). No EAs or Environmental Impact Statements (EISs) were completed or in progress during 2001 [Lev02b].

3.1.5 Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA)

PPPL complies with the terms and conditions of TSCA for the protection of human health and the environment by requiring that specific chemicals be controlled and regulations restricting use are implemented. The last PPPL polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) transformers were removed from the site in 1990.

In September 1998, 640 regulated capacitors were removed from the total inventory of 645 capacitors. At the end of 2001, only 5 PCB capacitors that met the regulation criteria remained at PPPL [Pu02a].

3.1.6 Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA)

Certified subcontractors who meet all the requirements of FIFRA, performed the application of herbicides, pesticides, and fertilizers. The PPPL Maintenance & Operations Division (M&O) monitors this subcontract. The following list of herbicides was used on the PPPL site in 2001 [Kin02b].

Herbicides:	Dissolve (13.13 pounds) Dimension (7 gallons) Roundup (32 gallons) Momentum (2.5 gallons) Permethrin Pro (14 gallons)

3.1.7 Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC)

PPPL maintains a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures (SPCC) plan as a requirement of 40 CFR 112 "Oil Pollution Prevention" regulations. [VNH98]. There are numerous transformers containing non-PCB mineral oil as well as fuel oil tanks (25,000 and 15,000 gallon aboveground storage tanks) for supplying fuel to the boilers and generators located on-site. Smaller vehicle refueling tanks and equipment oil storage tanks containing petroleum products are included in PPPL's SPCC plan.

The most recent NJDEP inspection of the facility was conducted in June 1998. Under New Jersey regulations, NJDEP classified PPPL as a non-major facility [NJDEP98a]. The threshold of 200,000 gallons of petroleum (not in transformers) is not exceeded. PPPL has reporting obligations under these regulations. These obligations include notification of discharges and discharge confirmation reporting to NJDEP. PPPL is considered a minor facility and therefore, the Discharge Prevention Control and Containment (DPCC) plan and Discharge Cleanup and Reporting plan (DCR) do not apply.

3.2 Radiation Protection

3.2.1 DOE Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment"

For radiation protection of the public and the environment, PPPL follows the requirements documented in the Radiological Plan contained in PPPL's Environmental Monitoring Plan [PPPL99b]; the Plan meets the requirements stated in DOE Order 5400.5 [DOE93a].

Through its monitoring program, the Plan provides assurance that the release of radioactive material on-site or off-site will be within regulatory limits and PPPL's policy of all radiation exposures "As Low As Reasonably Achievable" (ALARA). The order pertains to permissible dose equivalents and concentration guides as well as giving guidance on maintaining exposures to ALARA limits.

When 10 CFR 835, "Occupational Radiation Protection," became effective, PPPL made operational changes reflected in personnel monitoring requirements. Specific criteria for implementing the requirements on NSTX Safety Assessment Document [PPPL01]. These criteria are shown in Appendix A, Table 1.

The emphasis of the radiation monitoring program was placed on exposure pathways appropriate to fusion energy projects at PPPL. These pathways include external exposure from direct penetrating radiation.

Exhibit 3-3. Critical Pathways

Path	Source and Pathway
A1	Atmospheric ---> Whole Body Exposure
A2	Atmospheric ---> Inhalation Exposure
A3	Atmospheric ---> Soil & Vegetation Deposition---> Ingestion/Whole Body Exposure
L1	Liquid Water Way ---> Drinking Water Supply --> Human
L2	Liquid Water Way ---> External Exposure
L3	Liquid Water Way ---> Fish ---> Human

Following the end of TFTR deuterium and tritium (isotopes of hydrogen, D-T) experiments, internal exposure from radionuclides, such as tritium (HT and HTO) in air and water, was monitored. Tritium releases continue to be measured following TFTR shut down and during TFTR Decontamination and Decommissioning (D&D) Project. Six major critical pathways are considered as appropriate (see Exhibit 3-3).

The radiation monitoring program, described in the TFTR Final Safety Analysis Report [FSAR82], was updated to reflect the current environment around TFTR and D site (Exhibit 3-4).

The Environment, Safety & Health Directives (ESHD) 5008, Section 10, "Radiation Safety," Subpart L, "Release of Materials and Equipment from Radiological Areas," and Subpart P, "Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program," support the requirements for compliance with DOE 5400.5 {PPPL00d]. Monitoring of equipment and the environment ensures radiation protection for the employees and the public good.

Exhibit 3-4. Radiation Monitoring Program Covering Critical Pathways

Type of Sample	Critical Pathway	Sample Location	Sampling Frequency	Analysis
Surface Water	L1, L2, L3 & A3	1 – Basin Outfall (DSN001) 2 – Delaware & Raritan Canal (DSN003) 3 – Off-site (Bee, Cranbury, Devils Brooks, Millstone River)	1 – Monthly 2 – Monthly 3 - Quarterly	All surface water samples –HTO
Rain Water	L1, L2, & L3	1– Within 250 and 500' radius of D-site stack (N,S,E, & W) 2 –Within 1 km radius (co-located with air monitoring stations)	Monthly (as filled)	HTO
Ground Water	L1, L2, & L3	1– Select ground water monitoring wells 2 –D-site sumps (Air shaft and MG basement)	1 –Monthly 2 –Monthly	HTO
Sanitary Waste Water	L1 & L2	Liquid effluent collection tanks (3 tanks each 15,000 gal. on D-site)	As required-dependent on fill rate	HTO Gross beta
Air	A1, A2, & A3	TFTR Test Cell	Continuously	HT and HTO
Air	A1, A2, & A3	Tritium Vault	Continuously	HT and HTO
Air	A1, A2, & A3	D-site Stack (HVAC)	Continuously	HT and HTO, Particulates
Direct & Air (on-site)		4 air monitoring trailers on D-site facility boundary	Continuously	g, n, HT and HTO,
Direct & Air (off-site)		6 locations off-site with 1 km radius	Continuously	HT and HTO

HT = elemental tritium HTO = tritiated water Gross b = Gross beta g = gamma n = neutron

3.2.2 DOE Order 435.1, "Radioactive Waste Management"

To comply with the requirements of DOE Order 435.1, PPPL manages its radioactive waste by two implementing documents [DOE99b]:

1. PPPL ESHD 5008, Section 7, "Waste Management" [PPPL00c]; and
2. Environmental Restoration/Waste Management (ER/WM), EM-CP-21, Low-level Radioactive and Mixed Waste Certification Plan [PPPL98c].

The first document discusses roles and responsibilities for the management of radioactive waste and describes the Radioactive Waste Handling Facility (RWHF) operations. The second document describes PPPL's organization and methodology for certifying, handling, and characterizing low-level radioactive and mixed waste generated at PPPL. This plan includes transportation and subsequent burial at DOE's Hanford Burial Site in the state of Washington and the Nevada Test Site outside of Las Vegas, Nevada. Other ER/WM procedures provide specific instructions for sampling, packaging and preparing waste for shipment/disposal.

3.2.3 Atomic Energy Act (AEA) of 1954

PPPL complies with the requirements of the Atomic Energy Act (AEA) of 1954 through the adherence to PPPL's plan developed for controlling radioactive material. PPPL's "Nuclear Materials Control and Accountability (MC&A) Plan" describes the system for control and accountability of nuclear materials in PPPL's custody [PPPL98d]. PPPL's management assures that nuclear material used at PPPL is properly controlled, inventoried, and accounted for as required in DOE Order 474.1 [DOE99c].

The objective of the MC&A program is to provide a basis for planning, implementing, and evaluating an information and control system. The system is a combination of checks and balances sufficient to detect and assist in the prevention of the unauthorized use and removal of nuclear materials from PPPL.

3.3 Air Quality and Protection

3.3.1 Clean Air Act (CAA)

PPPL complied with the requirements of the CAA in 2001. Under Title I, "Non attainment Provisions," PPPL is located in a severe 17-ozone non-attainment area (ozone attainment to be reached 15 to 17 years following date of regulations, *i.e.* 2005 - 2007). This classification limits the threshold potential-to-emit (PTE) to 25 tons per year of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and 10 tons per year of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Formed during the burning of fossil fuels in boilers, generators, vehicle engines, etc., NO_x and VOCs are precursors to ozone formation.

Exhibit 3-5. 1998-2001 Fuel Use at PPPL

Fuel type [NJDEP Limit]	1999	1998	2000	2001
No. 4 oil (gal.) [227,370 gal.]	21,358	13,470	42,155	42,983
Natural gas (million cf) [88.6 MM cf]	36.94	28.9	38.26	36.95

At PPPL, NO_x is the only class of regulated air contaminant that could exceed PTE thresholds limit of greater than 25 tons per year. In order to meet this limit, PPPL calculated total fuel use for all four boilers and maximum hours of operations for the diesel generators. PPPL then requested from NJDEP the fuel limits (Exhibit 3-5) and hours of operations for the generators. NJDEP granted the request and imposed a maximum annual fuel (oil and natural gas) use limitation for the C-site boilers as a whole rather than the individual boiler fuel use limit. PPPL continues to operate successfully within the stated limitations. [NJDEP96, Kir02a]. In 2001, the four boilers actual emissions of NO_x were calculated to be 6.86 tons.

As a requirement of New Jersey Administrative Code (NJAC) Title 7:27-21, "Emissions Statements," PPPL submitted the 1994 Air Emission Survey to NJDEP; this Emission Survey was the last survey submitted. In March 1996, the NJDEP approved PPPL's request for Annual Emission Statement Non-Applicability.

The CAA Title V, "Operating Permit Program," is implemented through the State of New Jersey. In August 1995, PPPL filed a negative declaration for the New Jersey Operating Permit Program. By reducing the annual operating hours from 500 to 200 for the TFTR emergency diesel generator, PPPL lowered the NO_x potential to emit to below the 25 ton-per-year threshold. NJDEP granted approval in March 1996, effective November 29, 1995.

Under CAA Title VI, "Stratospheric Ozone Depletion," PPPL's use of certified refrigerant recovery units and trained technicians comply with Section 608 of the CAA, which prohibits the venting of ozone-depleting substances. PPPL maintains an inventory of Class I and II ozone-depleting substances (chlorofluorocarbons or CFCs).

PPPL safely disposes of equipment containing ozone-depleting substances by removing refrigerants to specified levels prior to disposal of equipment. PPPL employs trained and certified technicians to service and repair equipment containing ozone-depleting substances and to operate the four refrigerant recovery units. PPPL is pursuing replacement of older equipment (air conditioners, chiller units) with non-Class I and II refrigerants as funding becomes available.

In its efforts to track gases that contribute to global warming, NJDEP requested that PPPL determine the amount of sulfur hexafluoride (SF_6) released annually from TFTR. Prior to 1995, the amount of SF_6 needed to maintain the SF_6 systems ranged from 28,060 pounds to 36,340 pounds per year. During TFTR operations, SF_6 maintained high-voltage electrical equipment - modulator regulators, ion cyclotron radio frequency (ICRF), and neutral beam (NB) high voltage and ion source enclosures. Following TFTR shutdown in 1997, no additional SF_6 was purchased, and the remaining inventory of SF_6 was removed from these systems and stored for use with NSTX.

3.3.2 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs)

PPPL has an in-stack sampler within the D-site stack to monitor tritium releases. The monitor has been independently verified as meeting NESHAPs radionuclide emission monitoring requirements. In August 1993, EPA's concurred with this determination. In 2001, the levels of tritium released during operations were measured: 221.242 curies of tritiated water (HTO) and 38.742 curies of elemental tritium (HT) [As02]. (Exhibits 3-6 and 3-7and App. A, Table 3).

Exhibit 3-6. Total Air Releases from D-Site (formerly TFTR) Stack from 1994 to 2001

Calendar Year	HTO (Curies)	HT (Curies)	Total Curies (HTO + HT)	Activities
1994	4.30	9.28	13.58	D-T Operations
1995	37.031	24.87	61.901	D-T Operations
1996	118.624	64.88	183.504	D-T Operations
1997	124.093	63.019	187.112	TFTR shutdown
1998	45.867	28.982	74.849	TFTR shutdown
1999	59.712	21.779	81.491	TFTR D&D preparation
2000	58.320	18.073	76.393	TFTR D&D activities
2001	221.242	38.742	259.984	TFTR D&D activities

Annual Limit is 500 Curies.

Exhibit 3-7.



In Appendix A, see Table 3, "D-Site Stack Tritium Releases in Curies in 2001."

Exhibit 3-8. Dose from PPPL Operations from 1994 to 2001

Calendar Year	Estimated Dose Equivalent (mrem) at Site boundary	Estimated Dose Equivalent (mrem) at Nearest Business
1994	0.30	0.01
1995	0.31	0.01
1996	0.43	0.11
1997	0.51	0.12
1998	0.68	0.08
1999	0.26	0.05
2000	0.15	0.045
2001	0.62	0.16

The Annual Limit of 10 mrem/year applies to the estimated dose equivalent at the site boundary only.

In 2001, the effective dose equivalent (EDE) to a person at the business nearest PPPL, due to radionuclide air emissions, was 0.163 mrem (1.63 μSv), which is significantly lower than the NESHAPs standard of 10 mrem/yr (Exhibit 3-8). During their most recent inspection of PPPL's facilities in March 1998, representatives from EPA Region II indicated that PPPL complied with NESHAPs requirements [Lev01a].

3.4 Water Quality and Protection

3.4.1 Clean Water Act (CWA)

PPPL complies with the requirements of the CWA. Based on an assessment of leaking underground storage tanks (USTs) that contained fuel oil, PPPL conducted quarterly ground water monitoring for petroleum hydrocarbons and VOCs until September 1997 (see Section 6.1.3). The data collected for 24 quarters (6 years) were consistent: trace or no petroleum hydrocarbons were detected and the former USTs were not contributing to ground water contamination.

Under the CWA and "New Jersey Discharge of Petroleum and Hazardous Substances" regulation (New Jersey Administrative Code Title 7, Chapter 1E), PPPL reported no releases during CY 2001.

3.4.2 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)

In 2001, PPPL operated under the requirements of New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) surface water discharge permit (NJ0023922). The NJDEP issued the renewed surface water permit on April 29, 1999, with an effective date of June 1, 1999 [NJDEP99].

In 2001, the monitoring locations designated in the permit are the detention basin outfall (DSN001), and the filter backwash discharge (DSN003) located at the Delaware & Raritan (D&R) Canal pump house. These two locations are designated as monthly sampling points. No permit limits were exceeded at either DSN001 or DSN003 occurred during 2001.

The renewed surface water permit required several changes to PPPL's surface water monitoring program; the most significant were:

1. Chronic Toxicity Testing frequency was reduced from semi-annual to annual.
2. Chlorine monitoring was increased from quarterly to monthly. Additionally, an elimination plan for

chlorine produced oxidants (CPO) from the discharges was to be provided to NJDEP each year, as progress reports.

3. Total suspended solid (TSS) analytical frequency was reduced at the D&R Canal pump house from monthly to quarterly and without a permit limit of 20 mg/L maximum concentration.

PPPL maintains an inventory of wastewater streams (industrial discharges) that flow into the Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority (SBRSA) system. Under the requirements of the Discharge License from SBRSA, each month PPPL reports to SBRSA discharges from the D-site liquid effluent collection tanks (LEC).

In 2001, PPPL and DOE-PAO conducted a Unified Safety Review of the SBRSA Industrial Wastewater Discharge License. The Review concluded that PPPL met the License conditions with minor improvements to timely reporting of data on the Environmental web site and the completion the Slug Loading Control Plan as required by the SBRSA License [DOE-PAO01].

3.4.3 Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA)

The PPPL receives its drinking water from the Elizabethtown Water Company. While Elizabethtown is responsible for providing safe drinking water, PPPL periodically tests incoming water quality (App. A Table 18).

PPPL can switch from D & R Canal water (non-potable) to potable water for its non-contact water supply in the event of a fire or other emergency situation.

On a quarterly basis, PPPL inspects and pressure tests the back flow prevention equipment at both locations: the main potable water connection where Elizabethtown water enters C site and the new cross-connect system beneath the elevated water tower. Back flow prevention equipment prevents contamination of the potable water supply *via* a large cross-connection. On an annual basis, these systems are completely disassembled, inspected, and tested in the presence of an Elizabethtown Water Company representative. These inspection reports are submitted to the NJDEP annually.

3.5 <u>Other Environmental Statutes</u>

3.5.1 Endangered Species Act (ESA)

In 2001, PPPL occupied 88.5 acres of the Forrestal Campus of Princeton University. As documented in historical PPPL environmental assessments, no endangered species on-site have been indicated [ERDA75] [DOE92] [DOE93b] [Dy93].

In 1997, as part of the Remedial Investigation, Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc. conducted a baseline ecological evaluation [Am98]. The New Jersey Audubon Society has visually verified and reported a pair of Cooper's Hawk (*Accipiter cooperii*) nesting within one mile of the PPPL property [NJB97]. Cooper's hawks are presently listed as threatened in the state of New Jersey [NJDEP97].

3.5.2. Migratory Bird Treaty Act

In 2001, PPPL took no migratory bird species nor conducted any programs or actions that call for such activities as banding, marking or scientific collection, taxidermy and/or depredation control.

3.5.3 National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA)

There are no identified historical or archaeological resources at PPPL. No buildings or structures have been identified as historical [Gr77].

3.6 Executive Orders (EO)

3.6.1 Executive Order (EO) 13148, "Greening the Government through Leadership in Environmental Management"

On Earth Day, April 21, 2000, President Clinton signed this EO, which set goals for all government agencies to achieve reductions in toxic chemicals, hazardous, and ozone-depleting substances, environmental compliance, environmental management systems, and environmentally and economically beneficial landscaping. Each agency develops a written implementation plan, which is submitted to EPA and annual progress reports on the progress achieved to meet the goals by the set deadlines.

PPPL will integrate the Environmental Management System (EMS) as part of the PPPL Integrated Safety Management System (ISMS) program that was developed in 2000. The EO13148 requires that the EMS be implemented by the end of 2005.

3.6.2 Executive Orders (EO) 11988, "Floodplain Management"

In 2001, PPPL complied with EO 11988, "Floodplain Management." Delineation of the 500 and the 100-year floodplains was completed in February 1994. The 500-year and the 100-year floodplains are located at the 85-foot elevation and at the 80-foot elevation mean sea level (msl), respectively [NJDEP84] (Exhibit 3-10).

The 88.5-acre parcel that PPPL occupies is included in Princeton Forrestal Center's (PFC) Storm Water Management Plan-Phase I [PFC80]. The 88.5-acre parcel is part of the Bee Brook watershed included in the PFC storm water plan.

One condition of the PFC Storm Water Management Plan is that the average density of development cannot exceed a maximum of 60% impervious coverage of developable areas. PPPL meets the ≤60% impervious coverage limit. The Site-Wide Storm water Management Plan was completed in February 1996, and PPPL is in compliance with this Plan [SE96].

In 1997, PPPL prepared a Site-Wide Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan. Incorporating the Storm Water Management Plan, Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan, and other best management practices, this plan was a summary of activities already in practice at PPPL. The plan will be reviewed and updated triennially or as site changes warrant.

3.6.3 Executive Orders (EO) 11990, "Protection of Wetlands"

In 2001, PPPL complied with EO 11990, "Protection of Wetlands." The Land Use Regulation Program within NJDEP continues to be the lead agency for establishing the extent of state and federally regulated wetlands and waters. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers retains the right to re-evaluate and modify wetland boundary determinations at any time.

In 1994, PPPL received a "Letter of Interpretation" (LOI) from NJDEP for defining the wetland boundaries and wetlands classification. This LOI is needed before NJDEP issues wetlands permits for a site. The LOI is valid for a five-year period with the option to renew for an additional five years. In 1999, PPPL submitted a renewal application to NJDEP and was granted the five-year extension, beginning in January 1999 and valid until January 2004 (Exhibit 3-10).

3.6.4 Executive Order (EO) 12856, "Federal Compliance with Right-to-Know and Pollution Prevention Requirements," and Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) Title III, Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA)

Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act, Title III of the 1986 SARA amendments to CERCLA created a system for planning responses to emergency situations involving hazardous materials and for providing information to the public regarding the use and storage of hazardous materials. Under the reporting requirements of EO 12856 and SARA Title III, PPPL complied with the following:

PPPL submitted an annual chemical inventory in compliance with SARA Title III (EPCRA Section 312) in 2000. This inventory reports the quantities of chemicals listed in the CERCLA regulations (Exhibit 3-9).

Under SARA Title III, PPPL provides the following to the applicable emergency response agencies:

1. An inventory of hazardous substances stored on-site;
2. Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS); and
3. SARA Tier I forms.

PPPL completed the listing of each hazardous substance stored by users above a certain threshold planning quantity (typically 10,000 pounds, but lower for certain compounds).

Exhibit 3-9. Summary of PPPL EPCRA Reporting Requirements

	YES	NO	NOT REQUIRED
EPCRA 302-303: Planning Notification	[✓]	[ ]	[ ]
EPCRA 304: EHS Release Notification	[ ]	[✓]	[ ]
EPCRA 311-312: MSDS/Chemical Inventory	[✓]	[ ]	[ ]
EPCRA 313: TRI Report	[ ]	[ ]	[✓]

EHS – Extremely hazardous substances (No EHS are on-site at PPPL)

TRI – Toxic Release Inventory



Exhibit 3-10 PPPL Site Map – Floodplain and Wetland Boundaries

Exhibit 3-11 lists hazardous compounds at PPPL reported under SARA Title III for 2001 [PPPL021a]. These chemicals are found in 40 CFR Part 372, Subpart D, which lists names and chemical abstract system numbers for toxic chemicals.

Exhibit 3-11. Hazard Class of Chemicals at PPPL

Compound	Category
Bromochlorodifluoro-methane (Halon 1211)	Sudden release of pressure & Acute health effects
Bromotrifluoromethane (Halon 1301)	Sudden release of pressure & Acute health effects
Carbon dioxide	Sudden release of pressure & Reactive
Chlorine	Reactive
Chlorodifluoromethane (HCFC22)	Sudden release of pressure & Reactive
Dichlorodifluoro-methane (CFC 12)	Sudden release of pressure & Reactive
Fuel Oil	Fire
Gasoline	Fire & Chronic Health Hazard
Helium	Sudden release of pressure
Nitrogen	Sudden release of pressure
Petroleum Oil	Fire
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	Chronic Health Hazard
Sulfur Hexafluoride	Sudden release of pressure
Sulfuric acid	Acute Health Hazard & Reactive
Trichlorotrifluoroethane (CFC 113)	Reactive

Of the fifteen, eight chemicals are in their gaseous form and are therefore classified as sudden release of pressure hazards; five gaseous compounds are also classified as acute health hazards. There are eight liquid chemicals; nitrogen is used in both gaseous and liquid forms. Fuel oil, gasoline, and petroleum oil are flammables; trichlorotrifluoroethane (CFC-113) and sulfuric acid are the liquid compounds that are classified as acute health hazards; sulfuric acid is also reactive. PCBs and gasoline are listed as chronic health hazards.

Section 304 of SARA Title III requires that the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) and State Emergency Response Commission (SERC) be notified of accidental or unplanned releases of certain hazardous substances to the environment. To ensure compliance with such notification provisions, a Laboratory-wide procedure, ESH-013, "Non-Emergency Environmental Release—Notification and Reporting," includes SARA Title III requirements [PPPL98b]. The NJDEP administers SARA Title III reporting for the USEPA and has modified the Tier I form to include SARA Title III reporting requirements and NJDEP reporting requirements [PPPL02a].

Because PPPL's use of chemicals listed on the Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) is below threshold amounts, PPPL is not required to submit the TRI. Following DOE's guidance, PPPL completed an annual submittal to DOE for 1997 that included the TRI cover page and laboratory exemption report. PPPL did not submit a TRI in 2000 (not required).

3.7 Other Major Issues and Actions

3.7.1 Air Quality

Through PPPL's Waste Minimization and Pollution Prevention program, PPPL replaced over 100,000 square feet of roofing, increasing the thermal resistance. Based on the electrical energy savings, PPPL estimates an annual reduction in air pollutants: 465,000 pounds carbon dioxide (CO_2 - a greenhouse gas), 2.4 pounds sulfur dioxide (SO_2), 29.4 pounds particulates, and 42.58 pounds total organic compounds for a total of 210 metric tons [McG01a].

3.7.2 Surface Water Quality

Under NJPDES requirements, PPPL needs to eliminate from its discharges (basin outfall designate serial number, DSN001, and D&R Canal pump house outfall, DSN003) chlorine-produced oxidants (CPO). CPO is created by the reaction of chlorine combining with organic material in the water. Chlorine is added to prevent bio-fouling in water pipes and cooling tower equipment. CPO is generally harmful to biota in the receiving streams.

PPPL has purchased an automated chlorine controller with plans to install a new metering system in the D&R Canal water system in 2001. A similar system is planned at the D-site cooling tower. By reducing or limiting the amount of chlorine added to these systems, PPPL intends to protect its water systems/equipment while also protecting the environment by reducing CPO in its surface water discharge.

3.7.3 Ground Water Quality

Under New Jersey's State program for NJPDES ground water discharges, PPPL's permit (NJ0086029) expired on December 31, 1994. A renewal application was prepared and included a report on ground-water quality based on quarterly ground water samples collected from December 1989 through February 1994 [Fi94]. In May 2001, NJDEP issued the revised ground water discharge permit. The requirement to monitor groundwater and basin inflows was eliminated.

The NJDEP proposed that PPPL prepare a Ground Water Protection Plan (GWPP), in which data and recommendations are presented on the basis for reducing sample locations, sampling frequency, and number of parameters. PPPL is awaiting NJDEP action its submittal.

In 1993, NJDEP signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Princeton University to investigate A and B sites; PPPL and DOE-PAO were to investigate C and D sites. A summary of major project milestones is presented below:

1993 Prepared specifications for Remedial Investigation and Remedial Alternative Assessment project (RI/RAA).

1994 Harding Lawson Associates (HLA) began RI/RAA. Sampled existing wells, sumps, and soil borings. Soil beneath the Facilities Building and adjacent to C Site Cooling Tower removed.

1995 HLA conducted ground water sampling; prepared RI/RAA report.; completed UST closure activities.

1996 RI/RAA report submitted. Installed four new monitoring wells south of the CAS/RESA Building to delineate extent of contamination.

1997 New area of potential environmental concern (APEC) near the former PPPL Annex Building identified by sampling ground water from eight new wells and soil borings. Report submitted.

1998 Phase 3 RI report submitted to NJDEP in September 1998 [HLA98].

1999 Phase 4 RI and Remedial Action Selection reports submitted in October. Ground water monitoring continued.

2000 Remedial Action Work Plan submitted to NJDEP in May, quarterly ground water monitoring continued [Sh00, Sh01].

2001 Remedial Action Monitoring Report submitted; quarterly monitoring continued [Sh01 & Sh03].

3.7.4 Pollution Prevention Activities

In 2001, PPPL continued its efforts to pursue waste minimization and pollution prevention opportunities through active recycling efforts (Exhibits 3-12 and 3-13) and through the purchasing of recycled-content products. Dumpster Diving surveys of PPPL's solid waste stream document that further recycling opportunities exist. Metal recycling was also increased; the metals include iron, steel (stainless), copper, and aluminum, which resulted from interior renovations and exterior alterations. Lead shielding blocks were recycled from TFTR.

3.7.5 Outreach – 5th Annual Earth Day Celebration and America Recycles Day

In April 2001, the fifth annual Earth Day Celebration at PPPL involved local area middle schools and children of PPPL staff in a poster contest: "Recycling is common $ense and Protects the Environment." Over 200 students, teachers, parents, and PPPL staff attended the celebration that included presentation of contest awards, a briefing on "Fusion Energy in the New Century" given by Dr. Rob Goldston, Director of PPPL, and a presentation by Peter Marcalus, Vice-President of Marcal Paper, "Closing the Recycling Loop with Marcal."

Exhibit 3.12. Sorting through a dumpster – a.k.a. dumpster diving

For America Recycles Day (ARD - November 15th of each year), PPPL hosted a forum that brought together representatives from EPA, NJDEP, commercial recyclers, and PPPL staff.

Exhibit 3-13. 2001 Dumpster Dive Survey Results –35 % of Trash Contents are Recyclable



The purpose of ARD is to promote awareness and action to "Recycling at PPPL," and "Greening the Government through Leadership in Environmental Management." The Laboratory Director presented "Green Machine" awards to staff members who demonstrated their commitment to the ARD principles through their actions at PPPL [McG01b].

3.7.6 Safety

PPPL's 2001 performance with respect to worker safety was as follows:

Recordable injury case rate:
5.08 per 200,000 hours worked
Lost Work Day case rate:
0.79 per 200,000 hours worked
Lost Workday rate:
31.44 per 200,000 hours worked
Number of radioactive contaminations (external): 0
Number of Safety Occurrence reports: 0
(OSHA confined space, chemical exposure and lock out/tag out incidents) [Lev02b]

3.8 Continous Release Reporting

In 2001, PPPL had no continuous releases to report.

3.9 Unplanned Releases

During CY2001, no unplanned releases of hazardous or petroleum substances occurred. In February, PPPL did report to the NJDEP Hotline that PPPL received a delivery of No. 4 fuel oil that contained greater than 0.3 percent sulfur content during the first quarter of CY 2001. The sulfur content in No. 4 fuel oil must be below 0.3% as stated in the air permit limitations for a facility located in Middlesex County. When PPPL became aware of the sulfur content, the boilers were switched over to burn natural gas. The fuel in the tank was removed by the vendor and replaced with fuel oil that met the permit and contract requirements (<0.3% sulfur) [Fi01].

3.10 Current Issues and Actions

3.10.1 Environmental Management Systems

The benefits of comprehensive Environmental Management Systems (EMS) and the associated International Standard Organization (ISO) 14001 system are being reviewed. PPPL is evaluating the EO 13148 – "Greening the Government through Leadership in Environmental Management," - issued on April 22, 2000 (Earth Day) - to determine how best to implement an EMS inder the requirement of its DOE contract. Many of the elements of an EMS are presently instituted in plans, policies, and procedures at PPPL, however, integration into PPPL's ISM and recognition of the EMS approach and laboratory management procedures and policies will be needed to fully comply with EO13148.

3.11 Summary of Environmental Permits

The following table (Exhibit 3-14) presents the different regulatory requirements/permits with which PPPL must comply. It is not solely a list of environmental permits, but rather a list that specifies the citation for environmental regulations, PPPL's requirement or permit, and where data reports may be found. A discussion of environmental permits required by the applicable statutes is found in Sections 5.0 and 6.0, "Environmental

Radiological and Non-Radiological Program Information."

Exhibit 3-14. PPPL Environmental Requirements

Media	Regulatory Citation	Requirement/Permit	Data Reported
Air	40 CFR 61 – National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs)	Monitor D site stack for tritium	Reported in the annual Site Environmental Report (ASER)
	40 CFR 82 – Protection of Stratospheric Ozone	Training & certification; Chillers, HVAC, fire suppression systems, cylinders	Ozone Depleting Substances (ODS) Inventory
	NJAC 7:27-8 – Air Pollution Control – Permits and Certificates	4 Boiler stacks; 2 Storage tank vents; 3 Dust collectors; 2 Diesel generators.	Fuel use reported in ASER; Generator hours recorded in logbook
Asbestos	29 CFR 1910.1001, 1910.1200 – OSHA General Industry Standard	Identify locations prior to removal (roofing, tiles, walls, pipes, insulation, etc.)	Reporting to EPA prior to removal; Track generated quantities
EPCRA	40 CFR 370 – Hazardous Chemical Reporting: Community Right-to-Know	SARA Title III listed substances above threshold amounts	Section 312 annual report to EPA in March; Also reported in ASER
Laboratory Certification	NJAC 7:18 - Regulations Governing Laboratory Certification and Environmental Measurements	Princeton Environmental, Analytical, and Radiological Laboratory (PEARL) – tritium, COD, and analyze immed. parameters	Annual application; semi-annual performance testing; results reported in ASER
Land Use - Wetlands	NJAC 7:7A – Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act Rules	Delineated wetlands; 26-kV tower maintenance, well installations	Status reported in quarterly updates; Also, reported in ASER
Meteorology	DOE Order 430.1A - Life Cycle Asset Management	Meteorological tower – 3 levels (10, 30, and 60 meters) Rain gauge	Wind speed & direction, air temperature, dew point, precipitation. Precipitation reported in ASER
Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR 141.16 –National Primary Drinking Water Regulations	Best Management Practices - Tritium analyzed in ground, surface, & rain water	20,000 pCi/L or 4 mrem/year annual dose. Reported in ASER
Soil	NJAC 7:1E – Discharge of Petroleum and Other Hazardous Substances	Reporting discharge of petroleum or hazardous substances on soil/ unpaved areas/ water	30-Day confirmation report to NJDEP; Also reported in ASER
	Standards for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Act Chapter 251	Projects which create soil disturbance greater than 5,000 sq. feet	Quarterly status reported in updates
SPCC	NJAC 7:1E – Discharge of Petroleum and Other Hazardous Substances 40 CFR 110 – Discharge of Oil 40 CFR 112 – Oil Pollution Prevention	PPPL designated minor facility – no DPCC or DCR required; Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure Plan (SPCC) required	SPCC Plan required; Inspections, records, procedures
TSCA	40 CFR 761- Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	Label, inspect, records of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in capacitors	Inventory; Disposal records; Also reported in ASER

Exhibit 3-14. Environmental Requirements (cont.)

Media	Regulatory Citation	Requirement/Permit	Data Reported
Waste - Hazardous	40 CFR 260 –279 – Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) NJAC 7:26-8 – Hazardous Waste Regulations	On-site 90 –day temporary storage; EPA ID # NJ1960011152 Manifest records	Biennial report to NJDEP
Waste - Medical	NJAC 7:26-3A Regulated Medical Waste	Disposal of medical wastes generated from dispensary	Annual report to NJDEP
Waste - Sanitary	NJAC 7:28 – Bureau of Radiation Protection	Liquid effluent collection (LEC) tanks sampled for: Tritium Gross beta	Tritium concentrations not to exceed 1 Curie per year
	DOE Order 5400.5 – Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment	LEC tank - Tritium Gross beta	2 million picoCuries/Liter per discharge limit
	Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority Industrial Discharge License (22-96-NC)	LEC tank sampled for: Tritium & Gross beta pH, temperature, Chemical oxygen demand (COD) Quantity released	Monthly Discharge Report – Self Reporting Form to SBRSA Also, reported in ASER
Waste - Solid	NJAC 7:26 – Solid Waste	Registered Solid waste hauler; recycling separation of materials	Recycle report for paper, cardboard, glass/aluminum, plastics, scrap metals, batteries, office waste, *etc.*; Also reported in ASER
Water - Ground	NJAC 7:14A – The New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES)	Quarterly (Feb. & May 2001 only) ground water monitoring of seven wells; May sampling two inflows – permit revised –no further monitoring	Quarterly reports to NJDEP; Also, reported in ASER
	NJAC 7:19 – Water Supply Allocation Rules	Two former production wells (Wells 4 & 5) quantities pumped not to exceed 100,000 GPD	Annual report to NJDEP
	NJAC 7:26E – Technical Requirements for Site Remediation	Investigation –quarterly ground water monitoring, 9 wells, 2 sumps, and one surface water location	Remedial Investigation reports to NJDEP; Also , reported in ASER
Water - Potable	NJAC 7:10 – Safe Drinking Water Act	Quarterly inspection of back-flow preventors; annual internal inspection	Annual report to NJDEP & water purveyor
Water – Storm	NJAC 7:13 – Flood Hazard Area Control	Basin inspection & maintenance	Records
Water - Surface	NJAC 7:14A – The New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES)	Monthly surface water samples at two locations – DSN 001 and 003; annual chronic toxicity test @ DSN 001	Monthly discharge monitoring reports to NJDEP; annual chronic toxicity test report to NJDEP; Also, reported in ASER

Chapter 4

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM INFORMATION

4.1 Summary of Radiological Monitoring Programs

The monitoring for sources of potential radiological exposures is extensive. In 1981, real-time prompt gamma and/or neutron environmental monitoring on D-site began to establish baselines prior to TFTR operations. Exhibit 4-1 lists the air stations that were monitored for radiological parameters in 2001.

Surface, ground, rain, and process water samples are collected at the same locations for both non-radiological and radiological (HTO) analysis (Exhibit 4-2).

Exhibit 4-1. Radiological Air Monitoring Stations

Station Name	Number/Description	Exhibit #
Remote Environmental Air Monitoring (REAM)-off site	Stations *R 1- 6*: Tritium	4-4
Radiological monitoring system (RMS) on D site	8 Neutron detectors and gamma ionization detectors and passive tritium monitors at *T 1-4*:	4-3
Radiological monitoring system (RMS) at property line stations	2 Neutron detectors and gamma ionization detectors at Northeast (*RMS-NE*) and Southeast (*RMS-SE*)	4-3

Exhibit 4-2. Radiological and Non-Radiological Water Monitoring Stations

Station #	Location/Exhibit #	Description
B1	Off-site / 4-3	Bee Brook Upstream of discharge from detention basin
B2	Off-site / 4-3	Bee Brook Downstream of discharge from detention basin
C1	Off-site / 4-4	Delaware & Raritan Canal (Plainsboro)
DSN001	On-site / 4-3	Surface Water Discharge from the detention basin
DSN003	Off-site / 4-4	Delaware & Raritan Canal pump house outfall
E1	On-site / 4-3	Elizabethtown Water Company - potable water supply
M1	Off-site / 4-4	Millstone River - Plainsboro & West Windsor boundary- Route 1
P1	Off-site / 4-4	Plainsboro Surface Water - Millstone River
P2	Off-site / 4-4	Plainsboro Surface Water - Devils Brook
D-MG & TFTR	On-site /4-3	Basement sumps that drain ground water to detention basin
D-11R & D-12	On-site /4-3	Ground water monitoring wells next to detention basin
TW-1,2,3,& 10	On-site /4-3	Ground water monitoring wells north of TFTR & NSTX
LECT 1,2,or 3	On-site /4-3	Liquid effluent collection tanks north of TFTR & NSTX
R Series R1S to R2N	On-site /4-3	8-Rain water monitoring locations for North, South, East, & West @ 250 & 500 ft. from stack
Rainwater R1-R6	Off-site /4-3	Rain water monitoring locations (5 co-located with air DATS)

Exhibit 4-3. PPPL Site Map On-site Radiological Monitoring Location



In the mid-1980's, the last comprehensive assessment of population distribution in the vicinity of PPPL was completed for the proposed Burning Plasma Experiment (BPX) Environmental Assessment (EA) [Be87a]. PPPL is situated in the metropolitan corridor between New York City to the northeast and Philadelphia to the southwest. Census data indicate that approximately 18 million people live within 50 miles radius (80 km) of the site and approximately 253,000 within 10 miles (16 km) of PPPL based on the 2000 population census.

The overall, integrated, effective-dose equivalent (EDE) from all sources (excluding natural background) to a hypothetical individual residing at the nearest business was calculated to be 0.62 mrem (6.21 μSv) for 2001 (see Exhibit 5-1). Detailed person-rem calculations for the surrounding population was not performed, because the value would be insignificant in comparison to the approximately 100 mrem (1 mSv) that each individual receives from natural background, excluding radon, in New Jersey.

Exhibit 4-4. Off-site Monitoring Locations



4.2 Summary of Non-Radiological Monitoring Program

During 2001, PPPL operated under New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) surface water permit, number NJ0023922, effective on June 4, 1999. As stated in the permit conditions, PPPL monitored monthly the discharge of the detention basin, DSN001. Monthly data exists for this location dating back to 1984.

Monthly water quality monitoring at DSN001:
- Temperature, pH
- Petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH)
- Total suspended solids (TSS)
- Chemical oxygen demand (COD)
- Chlorine-produced oxidants (CPO)
- Flow

Quarterly:
- Total phosphate (Tot. P)
- Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)

Annual:
- Chronic Toxicity Testing

Monthly sampling continued at DSN003— a filter backwash discharge located at the Delaware and Raritan Canal pump house. Changes in monitoring included total suspended solids (TSS) on a quarterly frequency at the discharge and intake (D&R Canal water) without a limit for TSS and monthly monitoring for chlorine-produced oxidants (CPO) (Exhibit 4-4).

As a requirement of the surface water permit, a chronic toxicity characterization study was conducted test the DSN001 effluent with the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) as the test organism. The annual study results were submitted for the November 2001 test. PPPL's discharge water and the control tests were the same – no mortality to the test specimens.

As required in the NJPDES ground water permit, NJ0086029, seven ground water monitoring wells were sampled February and May 2001 (App. A Tables 24-26 & 28 and Exhibits 2-2 & 4-3). The inflows to the basin were sampled in May only. Under the revised ground water permit conditions issued in 2001 by NJDEP, the ground water monitoring requirement was eliminated. Also, the May and August sampling of the basin inflows (1 and 2) were discontinued.

Monitoring conducted under the Environmental Restoration program is discussed in Chapters 6.0 and 7.0

4.3 Environmental Requirements

Environmental requirements, for which DOE and PPPL are held accountable, are listed in Exhibit 3-14 and are discussed in Chapters 3.0, "Environmental Compliance Summary" and 6.0, "Environmental Non-Radiological Program Information," of this report.

4.4 Environmental Impact Statements and Environmental Assessments

No Environmental Impact Statements or Environmental Assessments were prepared in 2001.

4.5 Summary of Significant Environmental Activities at PPPL

4.5.1 Regulatory Inspections/Audits

In July 2001, a NJDEP Enforcement Inspector conducted the annual inspection of the Discharge to Surface Water Permit (NJPDES NJ0023922 [NJDEP98b). After reviewing the records and visually inspecting the two permitted outfalls, the result was an acceptable rating based on compliance with the permit conditions and no permit limits were exceeded during 2001.

In October 2001, a NJDEP Enforcement Inspector conducted the air inspection of PPPL's program. As discussed in Section 3.3, "Air Quality and Protection," PPPL operates boilers, dust collectors, diesel generators, and storage tank (vents). The inspector visually checked all the equipment and records for the boilers and generators. No findings or notices were generated from this inspection.

4.5.2 September 11, 2001

In light of the events that occurred in New York, Pennsylvania, and Virginia on September 11, 2001, which forever changed the country's sense of vulnerability, PPPL instituted additional security measures.

In an effort to support the efforts at the World Trade Center in New York City, PPPL sent respirators, rescue and other equipment. PPPL Emergency Services Units covered nearby mutual-aid fire and rescue departments, while their firefighters worked at the World Trade Center site.

4.5.3 Tritium in the Environment

Since August 1995, when the tritium concentration (in water) was found to be above background or baseline in well TW-1, a monitoring program for environmental tritium has been conducted. Five monitoring wells and two ground-water sumps were sampled, underground utilities were tested for leaks; soil was tested; roof drains were evaluated. Ten on-site and six off-site rainwater-monitoring stations were located and sampled at least monthly as precipitation allowed [As02].

PPPL found no leaks emanating from underground utilities; soil sampling results, pressure testing and *in-situ* pipe inspections supported this finding. Periodic samples of rain, surface, and ground water continue in order to monitor tritium concentrations in the environment near PPPL (Exhibit 4-5).

Exhibit 4-5. 2001 Highest Tritium Concentrations in Environmental Samples

Media	Location	Highest HTO	Stack Data (Date)
Air	T2 (NE D-site)	293 pCi/m^3	4.35 Ci HTO (Jun.25)
	R2 (S of PPPL)	369.4 pCi/m^3	3.53 Ci HTO (Mar. 21)
	D-site Stack	15.2 Ci	15.2 Ci HTO (Aug. 15)
Well	D-12	1,679 pCi/L	6.98 Ci HTO(Nov.7)
Rain water	R1S	9,383 pCi/L	4.71 Ci HTO (Feb. 14)
	R2S	14,870,pCi/L	4.71 Ci HTO (Feb. 14)
	R1S	9,068,pCi/L	5.40 Ci HTO (Mar. 7)
Surface water	DSN001	535.7 pCi/L	3.65 Ci HTO (Feb. 7)
	B1	605 pCi/L	3.65 Ci HTO (Feb. 7)

Ci = Curie pCi/L = picoCuries per Liter HTO = tritiated water

4.5.4 TFTR Deconstruction and Decontamination Project (D&D)

The dismantlement and removal TFTR presented a unique and challenging task for PPPL [PPPL93b]. The first challenge is the size of the vacuum vessel – 80 cubic meters; the second challenge is the total tritium content that remains in the vessel, in excess of 7,000 Curies (dose rates approach 50 mrem/hr). PPPL chose an innovative diamond-wire cutting technology following a series of successful trials.



Exhibit 4-6. TFTR Photographed on November 15, 2000

In preparation for diamond wire cutting, the vacuum vessel was filled with Geocell concrete to provide structure to vessel and limit the release of tritium during cutting and packaging. A system of pulleys positioned the diamond wire to the saw and a cryogenic cooling system cooled and cleaned the diamond wire during the cutting process. The entire work was conducted within a contamination control system. The first of ten cuts began in late August 2001; the last cut was made in February 2002. Exhibits 4-7 to 4-9 show the vacuum vessel and coil cutting and removal.; the D&D project is on-schedule to be completed by the end of Fiscal Year 2002 (September 30, 2002) [Ru02].

Exhibit 4-7. TFTR Vacuum Vessel with two segments removed

Exhibit 4-8. TF Coil is being cut for packaging and disposal

Exhibit 4-9. TFTR Coil is loaded on a flat bed truck for shipment

4.5.5 Environmental Training and College Interns

In 2001, PPPL employees were provided with the opportunity to attend the 40-hour training "Health and Safety for Hazardous Waste Site Investigation Personnel" (HAZWOPER), the 8-hour refresher course or OSHA HAZWOPER refresher, and the 8-hour course for Supervisors of Hazardous Waste Operations. Through a grant from the Department of Energy, instructors from the Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences Institute (EOHSI) of the University of Medicine & Dentistry of New Jersey provided these training courses.

A West Windsor-Plainsboro High School North senior worked as a summer intern in 2001. The aerator seen here is credited to this student, who conducted the research to find a suitable solution to improving water quality in the basin (Exhibit 4-10).



Exhibit 4-10. Aerator in Basin

In 2001, PPPL and Drexel University (Philadelphia, PA) continued its successful co-operative internship program at the Laboratory. Those selected students majoring in science or engineering spend six months at PPPL working in a department related to their major. PPPL's Environmental Restoration Waste Management Division has provided opportunities for students to work in the environmental field while giving them guidance and instruction in various areas of environmental management.

Chapter 5

ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOLOGICAL PROGRAM INFORMATION

5.1 Radiological Emissions and Doses

For 2001, the release of tritium in air and water and the total effective dose equivalent (EDE) contribution at the site boundary and for the population within 80 kilometers of PPPL is summarized in Exhibit 5-1 below. The calculated EDE at the site boundary is three-fifths of one mrem, far below the annual limit of 10 mrem per year [Lev01a].

Exhibit 5-1. Summary of 2001 Emissions and Doses from D Site Operations

Radionuclide & Pathway	Source	Source Term Curies (Bq)	EDE in mrem/yr (mSv/yr) at Site Boundary	Percent of Total	Collective EDE w/in 80 km in person-rem (person-Sv)
Tritium (air)	D-site stack	HTO 221.242(8.19×10^{12}) HT 38.742(1.43×10^{12})	0.5762000 (5.76×10^{-3}	92.77	5.2516768 (5.25×10^{-2})
Tritium (air)	RWHF	0.17855 (6.61×10^{9}) 0.48980 (1.81×10^{10})	0.0420000 (4.20×10^{-4})	6.76	0.0135007 (1.35×10^{-4})
Tritium (water)	LEC tank	0.1033 (HTO) (3.82×10^{9})	0.0020660 (2.07×10^{-5})	0.33	0.0028301 (2.83×10^{-5})
Tritium (water)	Surface Ground	183.8 pCi/L (Bee Brook) 3109 pCi/L (TFTR Sump)	0.0008460 (8.46×10^{-6})	0.14	0.0011589 (1.16 x 10-5)
Direct/Scattered neutron & Gamma Radiation	NSTX	5×10^{15} DD neutrons + 1×10^{14} DT neutrons	0.0000058 (5.80×10^{-8})	<0.01	Negligible
Argon-41 (Air)	NSTX	0.000118 ($4.37 \times 10^{6)}$	0.0000014 (1.40×10^{-8})	<0.01	0.0000027 (2.70×10^{-8})
Total			**0.6211192 (6.21×10^{-3})**		**5.2691692 (5.27×10^{-2})**

Bq = Bequerel mSv = milli Sievert EDE = effective dose equivalent
HT = elemental tritium HTO = tritium oxide LEC = liquid effluent collection tanks
mrem = milli radiation equivalent man RWHF = Radioactive Waste Handling Facility-Compactor & vial crusher

NOTES:

Estimated dose equivalent at the nearest business is 0.16310 mrem (1.63×10^{-3} mSv) due to tritium air emissions from the D-site stack and RWHF, Ar-41 air emissions from the NSTX Test Cell (from neutron activation of air from NSTX operations), and direct/scattered neutron and gamma radiation from NSTX operations. Using COMPLY (computer code) Level 4 for airborne emissions, this dose is equivalent is 0.3 mrem/yr (3.00×10^{-3} mSv/yr), compared to the NESHAPS standard of 10 mrem/yr (0.1 mSv/yr).

Annual limit is 10 mrem/year; background is about 360 mrem/year.

Half life of tritium (HTO & HT) is 12.3 years.

Airborne doses assume maximum exposed individual is in continuous residence at the site boundary; waterborne doses assume that maximum exposed individual uses the ultimate destination of liquid discharges (Millstone River) as sole source of drinking water.

Laboratory policy states that when occupational exposures have the potential to exceed 1,000 mrem per year (10 mSv/y), the PPPL Environment, Safety, and Health (ES&H) Executive Board must be requested to approve an exemption. This value (1,000 mrem per year limit) is 20 percent of the DOE legal limit for occupational exposure. In addition, the Laboratory applies the "ALARA" (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) policy to all its operations. This philosophy for control of occupational exposure means that environmental radiation levels for device operation are also very low. From all operational sources of radiation, the design objective for occupational exposure was less than 10 mrem per year (0.1 mSv/year) above natural background at PPPL.

5.1.1 Penetrating Radiation

The NSTX conducted experiments during 2001 that generated neutron and gamma radiation. Experimental shots were conducted using neutral beam injection, which generated deuterium-deuterium (D-D) (2.5 MeV) neutrons. Approximately 2% of these shots also generate deuterium-tritium (D-T) (14.1 MeV) neutrons. The total number of neutrons produced during NSTX experiments in 2001 was 5×10^{15} D-D neutrons in addition to 1×10^{14} D-T neutrons. Gamma and x-ray radiation generated in the range of 0-10 MeV during these experiments contributed to the total penetrating radiation dose at the site boundary of 1×10^{-21} mrem from D-D neutrons and 8.2×10^{-21} mrem from D-T neutrons. [Lev02a].

5.1.2 Sanitary Sewage

Drainage from D site sumps in radiological areas is collected in the Liquid Effluent Collection (LEC) tanks; each of three tanks has a total capacity of 15,000 gallons. Prior to release of these tanks to the sanitary sewer system, *i.e.*, Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority (SBRSA), a sample is collected and analyzed for tritium concentration and gross beta. All samples for 2001 showed effluent quantity and concentrations of radionuclides (tritium) to be within allowable limits established in New Jersey regulations (1 Ci/y for all radionuclides) (40 CFR 141.16 limit is 20,000 pCi/L) and DOE Order 5400.5 (2×10^6 pCi/liter for tritium).

As shown in Exhibit 5-2, the 2001 total amount of tritium released to the sanitary sewer was 0.1033 Curies, about ten percent of the allowable 1.0-Curie per year limit. In Appendix A Table 13, the gross beta activity is reported; the gross beta activity ranges from <194 to 884pCi/L.

Exhibit 5-2. Total Annual Releases to Sanitary System from 1994 to 2001

Calendar Year	Total Gallons Released	Total Activity (Curies)
1994	273,250	0.299
1995	308,930	0.496
1996	341,625	0.951
1997	139,650	0.366
1998	255,450	0.071
1999	158,760	0.084
2000	165,900	0.0809
2001	150,150	0.1033

5.1.3 Radioactive and Mixed Waste

In 2001, low-level radioactive wastes were stored on-site prior to off-site disposal, either in the Radioactive Waste Handling Facility (RWHF) or within a controlled area of TFTR. Low-level radioactive shipments made in 2001 consisted of removed systems from TFTR and compacted solid waste, including personal protective clothing. No low-level radioactive mixed waste was generated in 2001 [Pu02b]. As shown in Exhibit 5-3, after cutting with a diamond wire apparatus, coil segments from TFTR were removed; they were later cut in two, wrapped, and removed for disposal to the Nevada Test Site (NTS) (see Exhibit 5-4 for total waste generated).

Exhibit 5-3. Securing a Coil Segment for cutting and removal.

Exhibit 5-4. Total Low-Level Radioactive Waste 1997-2001

Year	Cubic feet (ft^3)	Total Activity in Curies
1997	1,997.7	31,903.0
1998	533.74	204.80
1999	1188	213.76
2000	4,235.7	50.0
2001	19,949.8	1,288.43 (47.58 TBq)

5.1.4 Airborne Emission - *Differential Atmospheric Tritium Samplers (DATS)*

PPPL uses the differential atmospheric tritium sampler (DATS) to measure elemental (HT as shown in Exhibit 5-6) and oxide (HTO, Exhibit 5-7) tritium at the D site stack and in the Radioactive Waste Handling Facility (RWHF). The peaks in Exhibits 5-6 and 5-7 correlate generally with elevated D-site stack releases in June 2001 [As02]. In June 2001, TFTR vacuum vessel was filled with concrete prior to diamond wire cutting. The poring and curing may have enhanced the tritium releases from the tiles [Le03].

DATS are similarly used at eleven (11) environmental sampling stations: 4 located on D site facility boundary trailers (T1 to T4), 6 located at remote environmental air monitoring stations (R 1 to R6) (Exhibit 5-5 and App. A, Tables 4-7). The baseline location was located in Roebling, N.J. (Burlington County). All of the aforementioned monitoring is performed continuously.

Exhibit 5-5. Collecting DATS data from R4

Tritium (HTO and HT) was released and monitored at the D site stack (App. A, Table 3 and Exhibit 3-4). Projected dose equivalent at the nearest off-site business from airborne emissions of tritium was 0.3 mrem/year (3.0 x 10^{-3} mSv/year). Measurements at the D site facility boundary have measured concentrations in the range from 0.00 to 140.595 pCi/m³ elemental tritium (HT) and from 0.00 to 293.006 pCi/m³ oxide tritium (HTO) (App. A, Tables 4 & 5). Measurements from off-site monitoring stations are shown in Appendix A, Tables 6 & 7 "Air Tritium (HT)" and "Air Tritium (HTO)," respectively.

The EDE at the site boundary was calculated based on annual tritium totals as measured at the stack and RWHF (DATS air) and water samples at the LEC tanks and highest measurements from a well and surface water during 2001. The addition of the RWHF, which has no elevated stack, contributes more significantly to the EDE at the site boundary than to the EDE at the nearest business due to its close proximity to the site boundary (see Exhibit 4-3).

Exhibit 5-6 Airborne Emissions (HT) at On-site Monitors (T1 to T4)



In Appendix A, see Table 4, "Air Tritium (HT) Concentrations Collected On-Site in 2001."

Exhibit 5-7 Airborne Emissions (HTO) at On-site Monitors (T1-T4)



In Appendix A, see Table 5, "Air Tritium (HTO) Concentrations Collected On-Site in 2001."

5.2 Release of Property Containing Residual Radioactive Material

Release of property containing residual radioactivity material is performed in accordance with PPPL ES&H Directives (ESHD) 5008, Section 10, Subpart L.

Such property cannot be released for unrestricted use unless it is demonstrated that contamination levels on accessible surfaces are less than the values in Appendix D of ES&HD 5008, Section 10, and that prior use does not suggest that contamination levels on inaccessible surfaces exceed Appendix D values. For tritium and tritiated compounds, the removable surface contamination value used for this purpose is 1,000 dpm/100 cm^2. No active or contaminated materials were free-released in 2001. All materials were either reused in controlled environments or properly disposed.

5.3 Protection of Biota

The highest measured concentrations of tritium in surface and ground water in 2001 was 1,679 pCi/L (Well TW-1). This concentration is a very small fraction of the water biota concentration guide (BCG) (for HTO) of 3 $x10^8$ pCi/L for aquatic system evaluations, and the water BCG (for HTO) of 2 x 10^7 for terrestrial system evaluations, per Draft DOE Standard ENVR-001 ("A Graded

Approach for Evaluating Radiation Doses to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota").

5.4 Unplanned Releases

There were no unplanned radiological releases in 2001.

5.5 Environmental Radiological Monitoring

5.3.1 Waterborne Radioactivity

A. Surface Water

Surface-water samples at nine locations (two on-site: DSN001, and E1; and seven off-site: B1, B2, C1, DSN003, M1, P1, and P2) have been analyzed for tritium (App. A, Table 8). Locations are indicated on Exhibits 4-3 (on-site) and 4-4 (off-site locations).

In February 2001, at on-site location DSN001, basin outfall and at B1 (Bee Brook), tritium concentrations were detected at 535.3 and 605 pCi/Liter, respectively, which were the highest in 2001 for surface water samples (App. A, Table 8).

Rain water samples collected and analyzed in 2001 ranged from below detection 98.2) to 14,830 pCi/liter (App. A , Tables 10 & 11), which are lower than the four-year high of 61,660 pCi/liter (App. A, Table 12). In the weeks prior to collecting the highest-level rain water sample (14,830 pCi/L), no elevated HTO levels from D-site stack were detected during the month of February 2001 (4.71 to 5.40 Curies). Though these levels correspond with the surface water samples at DSN001 and B1 in February 2001. The total annual quantity of tritium (HTO + HT) was measured to be 259.984 Curies.

Based on this data and associated literature [Jo74, Mu77, Mu82, Mu90], it is believed that the observed increase in tritium concentrations in rain water is due to washout by precipitation of a portion of the tritium released from the TFTR stack. Monitoring of tritium concentrations in rain water continues.

In April 1988, PPPL began precipitation. On a weekly basis, on-site precipitation is measured by a rain gauge. Exhibit 5-8 shows the occurrence of dry and wet years compared to an average of 44.2 inches (App. A, Table 2 for 2001 weekly rainfall) [Ch02]. 2001 was the driest year of the thirteen years.

B. Ground Water

In August 1995, PPPL began to monitor tritium levels in on-site ground water more intensely. This increase in the scope of ground-water monitoring was prompted by the slight increase in tritium levels in well TW-1. An investigation into the potential sources began in the fall of 1995. Leak tests and checks of lines and equipment in the area near TW-1 (north side of D-site) were performed; none were found to be leaking tritiated water into the ground water.

In 2001, nine on-site wells -, TW-8, MW-12S, MW-14, MW-16, D-11R and D-12 on C site, and TW-1, TW-2, TW-3, and TW-5, on D site - were sampled. Water from two foundation sumps (located in the TFTR Air Shaft room and D-site MG basement) were also sampled and analyzed for tritium. Since the presence of tritium at D-site and the onset of D-T operations, ground water results were slightly elevated in TW-1 and TW-5.

Exhibit 5-8 Total Rainfall in Inches (centimeters) 1988-2001



Rainfall collected in 1988 for 10 months; est. >40 inches.

In 2001, the highest concentrations of tritium were found in wells TW-1 and TW-8 (1,679 and 698.2 pCi/L, respectively). Rain water results for the locations nearest to the basin (R2S and R1S) show elevated tritium concentrations in the 1,000 pCi/L range (App. A, Table 10).

From PPPL's environmental monitoring data and the available scientific literature [Jo74, Mu77, Mu83, Mu90], the most likely source of the tritium detected in the on-site ground water samples is from the atmospheric venting of tritium from the D-site stack and the resulting "wash-out' during precipitation. Ground water monitoring of the wells and the foundation sump (dewatering sump for D-site buildings) will continue into 2002.

C. Drinking Water

Potable water is supplied by the public utility, Elizabethtown Water Co. In April 1984, a sampling point at the input to PPPL was established (E1 location) to provide baseline data for water coming onto the site. Radiological analysis has included gamma spectroscopy and tritium-concentration determination. In 2001, tritium measurements of potable water ranged below the level of detection (<153pCi/L to <298 pCi/liter App. A , Table 8).

5.3.2 Foodstuffs, Soil, and Vegetation

There were no foodstuffs, soil, or vegetation samples gathered for analysis in 2001. In 1996, the Health Physics (HP) Manager reviewed the requirement for soil/biota sampling. At that time, a decision was made to discontinue the sampling program. Tritium was not detected in almost all samples and these data were not adding to the understanding of tritium transport in the environment. A heavier concentration was placed on the water sampling and monitoring which produced more relevant results. ✷

Chapter 6

ENVIRONMENTAL NON-RADIOLOGICAL PROGRAM INFORMATION

6.1 New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) Program

6.1.1 Surface and Storm Water

To comply with permit requirements of the New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) permit, NJ0023922, PPPL submitted to NJDEP monthly discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) for Discharge Serial Number (DSN)—DSN001 and DSN003 (App. A , Tables 22 & 23). During 2001, PPPL's discharges were within allowable limits for all testing parameters.

In November 1993, the last permit limit at DSN001 was exceeded when total suspended solids concentration was 73 mg/L *vs.* 50 mg/L—the permit limit. The last occurrence at DSN003 was in January 1999, when the total suspended solids (TSS) concentration was measured at 24 mg/L at DSN003 exceeding the monthly average limit of 20 mg/L. From 1997-1999, once annually TSS concentrations were exceeded at DSN003, which is the discharge for the filter back wash at the Delaware & Raritan (D&R) Canal pump house. PPPL attributed the TSS concentration above the 20 mg/L limit to the quality of the D&R Canal water.

In 2001, PPPL continued to monitor the total suspended solids concentration at DSN 003 and intake (at C1 -upstream of the D&R Canal pump house) quarterly.

In May 2001, the second annual progress report was submitted to NJDEP. [PPPL01a} The NJPDES permit required the preparation of a progress report on the reduction of chlorine from PPPL's cooling water. PPPL reported that the specification, design, and procurement of new chlorine controller equipment was in the progress for the D&R Canal water as well as for the D-site cooling tower; blowdown from the tower is discharged to the detention basin (DSN001). Monthly analysis for chlorine-produced oxidants (CPO) or total residual chlorine (TRC) was conducted at both outfalls.

6.1.2 Chronic Toxicity Characterization Study

In 2001, chronic toxicity testing for DSN001 effluent continued. In all chronic toxicity tests, *Pimephales promelas* (fathead minnow) was the only test species required [NJDEP95]. NJDEP chose the fathead minnow as the more sensitive species for the Chronic Toxicity Biomonitoring requirements.

As the result of the annual chronic toxicity test, the survival rate, as defined by the NJ Surface Water Quality Standards, was >100 percent (statistically possible) no observable effect concentration (NOEC) [PPPL01b]. The last unsuccessful test occurred in March 1995, the fathead minnows survived in the 50 percent dilution, *i.e.*, mortality was observed in the 100 percent effluent test (Exhibit 6-1).

Exhibit 6-1. Summary of Chronic Toxicity Testing

Test Freq.	Bi-month	Quarter	Semi-annual	Annual
1994		4*		
1995		4*		
1996	3	2		
1997		4		
1998		3		
1999			1 (Mar.)	1(Oct.)
2000				1 (Dec.)
2001				1 (Nov.)

*One test result <100 NOEC (failed test).

6.1.3 Ground Water

Since 1989, PPPL has monitored ground-water quality in seven wells in compliance with the NJPDES ground-water discharge permit, NJ0086029; four of the seven wells are located on PPPL C and D sites, and three wells are located on A and B sites. The wells on A & B sites are not on DOE-leased property, but are on the adjacent James Forrestal Campus property. The two inflows to the on-site basin are included in the monitoring requirements.

The permit was issued effective April 1, 1989, and the expiration date was extended to December 31, 1996. In July 1994, DOE-PAO submitted to NJDEP the NJPDES permit renewal application. In May 2001, the renewal of the discharge to ground water permit was issued. The requirement to monitor ground water wells and at the detention basin inflows was removed. PPPL is required to continue monitoring ground water through the Remediation program [NJDEP01].

A. NJPDES Quarterly Ground Water Monitoring Program in 2001

In this section, the NJPDES Quarterly Ground Water Monitoring Program is discussed in three parts: A and B site wells (MW-14, MW-15, and MW-16); C and D site wells (D-11, D-12, TW-2, and TW-3); and the detention basin Inflows 1 and 2.

Only one well on A and B sites of the Forrestal Campus was accessible due to construction of a new roadway. MW-15 had been abandoned according the NJDEP standards in June 2000. Only well, MW-14, was sampled February and May 2001 (App. A, Table 24). The results from the sampling showed concentrations below permit standards for base/neutral compounds.

The C and D site wells-D-11R, D-12, TW-2, and TW-3-were sampled in May and February 2001 (App. A, Tables 25 & 26). Tetrachloroethene (PCE) was detected (May and August samples); these PCE results were above the Ground Water Quality Standards (GWQS) (Exhibit 6-2). Also, detected above the GWQS was trichloroethene (TCE) in well D-12. 1,1-Dichloroethene was detected in concentrations well below the GWQS (70 µg/) in well D-12 (App. A, Table 31).

As discussed in Chapter 7, "Site Hydrology, Ground Water and Public Drinking Water Protection," the volatile organic compounds detected in these wells are not believed to originate from the detention basin, but rather are the result of historical contamination in the Former Annex Building Area (FABA).

Exhibit 6-2. Volatile Organics in Ground Water in 2001

Volatile Organics in µg/L	D-11R	D-12	TW-3	*GWQS*
	May	**May**	**May**	
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	5.3	2.6	<1.0	*0.4*
Trichloroethene (TCE)	<1.0	1.3	<1.0	*1.0*
1,1-dichloroethane	<5.0	1.6J	<5.0	*70*

Detention basin inflows or influents are monitored once each year, in May and (App. A Tables 21 & 29), pursuant to PPPL NJPDES ground water discharge permit, NJ0086029. VOCs were detected at Inflows 1 and 2 in concentrations above the GWQS for tetrachloroethene in May 2001 (1.4 and 5.9 µg/L, respectively) and trichloroethylene (11.7 and 4.2 µg/L); below the GWQC were bromodichloromethane (0.87 and 0.65 µg/L) and chloroform (2.9 and 2.2 µg/L).

Located on the north side of the detention basin, Inflow 2 receives ground water from the D-site basement sump pumps and storm water from the transformer yard sumps. Located on the west side of the detention basin, Inflow 1 receives water from the C site Motor Generator (MG), Lyman Spitzer Building (LSB), and C Stellarator (CS) basement sumps, C and D site cooling tower and boiler blow down, and non-contact heat exchanger cooling water, as well as storm water.

An estimated 47.1 million gallons of water were discharged from the detention basin in 2001. Beginning in December 2000, flow from the basin was measured using an ultrasonic flow tranducer; data are downloaded to a data spreadsheet from which total daily and daily average flows were calculated. The lined detention basin operates with a permanent oil boom, an oil detection system that is capable of sending an alarm signal to Security and automatically closing the discharge valve, and a chain-link fence around the perimeter of the basin. The detention basin is operated in a flow-through mode.

B. Regional Ground Water Monitoring Program

In 1993, a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was signed between Princeton University, the landowner of the James Forrestal Campus, and the NJ Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP). For C and D sites, the Remedial Investigation is discussed in Section 3.2.3 and is fully documented in the *Remedial Investigation and Remedial Action Selection Reports (RI & RASR) Report* approved by NJDEP in 2000 [PPPL00]. The Remedial Action work Plan (RAWP) was submitted by the DOE-PPPL in May 2000 and conditionally approved by NJDEP in June 2000 [PPPL00a].

In 2001, ground water monitoring activities were continued in the area of potential environmental concern (APEC) near the location of the former PPPL Annex Building in the wooded area southwest of CAS/RESA. (Exhibit 4-3 and App. A, Tables 27 -30) [Sh01, Sh03].

Exhibit 6-3. Ground Water Sampling

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) probably from degreasing solvents were detected above both the NJ Ground Water Standards in 5 of the 12 wells/sumps sampled and in 2 wells in estimated concentrations lower than the ground water standard. The highest concentrations of tetrachloroethylene (PCE) were found in well MW-19S at 96.2 µg/L, which is lower than in 2000 (205 µg/L). The PCE concentration at D-site MG sump was 50.7 µg/L, which is lower than in 2000 (75.6 µg/L). The de-watering sumps located in the D-site MG and TFTR basements draw ground water radially from the shallow aquifer, controlling ground water flow and, thus, preventing off-site contaminant migration and slowly extracting contaminated ground water.

6.2 Non-Radiological Programs

The following sections briefly describe PPPL's environmental programs required by federal, state, or local agencies. These programs were developed to comply with regulations governing air, water, wastewater, soil, land use, and hazardous materials, as well as with DOE orders or programs.

6.2.1 Non-Radiological Emissions Monitoring Programs

A. Airborne Effluents

PPPL maintains New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) air permits for its four boilers located on C site. The permit certificate numbers 061295 through 061299 were issued as 90-day temporary certificates; however, in 1997, NJDEP stopped issuing the temporary certificates. The boiler permits were part of NJDEP's inspection of the facility; the facility was determined to be in compliance of the air regulations and permit requirements (Exhibit 6-4).

Measurements of actual boiler emissions are not required. To optimize boiler efficiency and to reduce fuel cost in accordance with DOE Order 4330.2D, "In-House Energy Management," [DOE88] PPPL utilizes an outside contractor to tune all the boilers on an annual basis and provide a report for each boiler. The report includes the boiler efficiency, oxygen content, flue-gas temperature and carbon dioxide content of the stack gas for both oil (# 4) and natural gas fuels. The PPPL boiler operations Chief Engineer maintains records of this information [Kir02].

PPPL maintains the following equipment that requires air permits:

Exhibit 6-4. Air-Permitted Equipment

Type of Air Permit	Location
Dust collectors	M&O woodworking shop CAS metalworking area Shop wood working area
Storage tanks vents	25,000 gal. No. 4 oil 15,000 gal. No. 1 oil
Diesel generators	D-site generator C-site generator
Utility boilers	Units 2,3,4, & 5 in M&OD

B. Drinking Water

Potable water is supplied by the public utility, Elizabethtown Water Co. The PPPL used approximately 23.6 million gallons in 2001 (Exhibit 6-5) [Kir02b]. In 1994, a cross-connection was installed beneath the water tower to provide back-up potable water to the tower for the fire-protection system and other systems.

Exhibit 6-5. PPPL Potable Water Use

CY	In million gallons
2001	23.6
2000	20.6
1999	23.01
1998	27.12
1997	24.56
1996	27.82
1995	40.69

C. Process (non-potable) Water

In 1987, PPPL made a changeover from potable water to Delaware & Raritan (D&R) Canal non-potable water for the cooling-water systems. Non-potable water is pumped from the D&R Canal as authorized by a permit agreement with the New Jersey Water Supply Authority (Exhibit 6-6) [Kir02b]. The present agreement gives PPPL the right to draw up to half a million gallons of water per day for process and fire-fighting purposes.

Exhibit 6-6. PPPL Non-Potable Water Use

CY	In million gallons
2001	38.71
2000	39.98
1999	41.55
1998	30.9
1997	32.8
1996	96.2
1995	67.2

Filtration to remove solids and the addition of chlorine and a corrosion inhibitor are the primary water treatment at the canal pump house. Discharge serial number DSN003, located at the canal pump house filter-backwash, is a separate discharge point in the NJPDES surface-water permit and is monitored monthly (App. A, Table 23). A sampling point (C1) was established to provide baseline data for surface water that is pumped from the D&R Canal for non-potable uses. Appendix A Table 16 summarizes results of water quality analysis at the canal.

D. Surface Water

Surface water is monitored for potential non-radioactive pollutants both on-site and at surface-water discharge pathways (upstream and downstream) off-site. Other sampling locations—Bee Brook (B1 & B2), Elizabethtown Water Co. (potable water supplier-E1), Delaware & Raritan Canal (C1), Millstone River (M1), and Plainsboro (P1 & P2) sampling points (App. A Tables 14-20)—are not required by regulation, but are a part of PPPL's environmental surveillance program.

E. Sanitary Sewage

Sanitary sewage is discharged to the Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTW) operated by South Brunswick Township, which is part of the Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority (SBRSA). During 1994, due to malfunctioning metering devices, PPPL, South Brunswick Sewerage Authority, who is part of SBRSA system, and the Township of Plainsboro agreed upon an estimated volume of sewage discharged. The estimated volume was based on historical data of approximate flow rates from PPPL. This volume was adjusted for the interconnections with Forrestal Campus A and B sites and a private business. For 2001, PPPL estimates a total discharge of 6.00 million gallons of sanitary sewage to the South Brunswick sewerage treatment plant [Kir01b**]**.

In 1996, Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority (SBRSA) issued an Industrial Discharge License (22-96-NC) to PPPL and DOE-PAO. The license requires monthly measurement of radioactivity, flow, pH and temperature at the LEC tanks (designated compliance and sampling location) and monthly sampling for chemical oxygen demand (COD).

During 2001, PPPL continued monthly radiological and non-radiological analyses to meet the license requirements (App. A. Table 13). Beginning in August 1999, the COD concentration (1,200 mg/L) was found to exceed the monthly average of 1,000 mg/L. SBRSA issued PPPL a Notice of Violation (NOV) for this COD exceedance. PPPL investigated the potential source for the excess COD; no definitive cause was found.

In June and December 2000, the liquid effluent collection (LEC) tanks contained high COD concentrations (>1,000 mg/L the release maximum). An approximate 31,000 gallons of waste water was removed for treatment at a licensed facility, Gloucester County Utilities Authority (GCUA) Treatment Facility.

F. Spill Prevention Control and Counter-measure

PPPL maintains a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan (SPCC), which was revised in 1998 [VNH98]. The SPCC Plan is incorporated as a supplement to the PPPL Emergency Preparedness Plan.

G. Herbicides and Fertilizers

During 2001, PPPL's Maintenance & Operations (M&O) Division managed the use of herbicides by outside contractors. These materials are applied in accordance with state and federal regulations. Chemicals are applied by certified applicators.

In addition to the fertilizer, the quantities applied during 2001 were as follows: herbicides - Dissolve (13.13 pounds), Dimension (7 gal.), Roundup (32 gal.), Permethrin Pro (14 gal.) and Momentum (2.5 gal.) [Kin02b]. No herbicides or fertilizers are stored on site; therefore, no disposal of these types of regulated chemicals is required by PPPL.

H. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

At the end of 2001, PPPL's inventory of equipment included 5 polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB)-regulated capacitors. 640 regulated-PCB capacitors were removed from PPPL in 1998. Disposal records are listed in the Biennial Hazardous Waste Generators Report [Pu01a].

I. Hazardous Wastes

The Hazardous Waste Generator Annual Report (EPA ID No. NJ1960011152) was submitted to the NJDEP for 1999-2000. A description of Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) compliance is found in Section 3.1.2 of this report.

6.2.2 Continuous Release Reporting

Under CERCLA's reporting requirements for the release of a listed hazardous substances in quantities equal to or greater than its reportable quantity, the National Response Center is notified and the facility is required to report annually to EPA. Because PPPL has not released any CERCLA-regulated hazardous substances, no "Continuous Release Reports" have been filed with EPA.

6.2.3 Environmental Occurrences

One reportable incident to the environment was reported to the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) Hotline. In January 2001, during a routine No.4 fuel oil delivery, the sulfur content was found to be above the 0.3 percent limit. PPPL reported its finding to the NJDEP Hotline. By regulation for Middlesex County, New Jersey, all No. 4 fuel oil to be burned must have a sulfur content of 0.3 percent or less. The tank of oil was removed by the oil supplier, and No. 4 fuel oil that met the 0.3 percent sulfur limit was supplied.

The procedure for testing the fuel oil for sulfur emphasized the timeliness of receiving the results prior to burning the fuel oil in the bilers (Fi01).

6.2.4 SARA Title III Reporting Requirements

NJDEP administers the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) Title III (also known as the Emergency Reporting and Community Right-to-Know Act) reporting for EPA Region II. The modified Tier I form includes SARA Title III and NJDEP-specific reporting requirements. PPPL submitted the SARA Title III Report to NJDEP in March 2001 [PPPL02a]. No significant changes from the previous year were noted. The SARA Title III reports included information about eleven compounds used at PPPL as listed in Exhibit 3-11.

Though PPPL does not exceed threshold amounts for chemicals listed on the Toxic Release Inventory (TRI), PPPL completed the TRI cover page and laboratory exemptions report for 1996, and submitted these documents to DOE. Since PPPL did not exceed the threshold amounts, no TRI submittal was completed for 2001. ✵

Chapter
7

SITE HYDROLOGY, GROUND WATER, AND DRINKING WATER PROTECTION

PPPL is located within the Bee Brook Watershed. Bee Brook is a tributary to the Millstone River, which is part of the Raritan River Watershed (Exhibit 7-1). NJDEP has developed a watershed-based management program for prospective environmental planning and has divided the State of New Jersey into twenty watershed basins.

Locally, the Bee Brook Watershed encompasses approximately 700 acres within the Princeton Forrestal Center and James Forrestal Campus tracts. It begins at College Road East (approximately 1600 feet east of US Route 1), flows south in a wide flood plain, and then discharges into Devil's Brook at the entrance to Mill Pond [Sa80].

Exhibit 7-1 Millstone River Watershed Basin



PPPL is situated on the eastern edge of the Piedmont Physiographic Province, approximately one-half mile from the western edge of the Atlantic Coastal Plain Province. The site is underlain largely by gently dipping and faulted sedimentary rock of the Newark Basin. The Newark Basin is one of several rift basins that were filled with sedimentary material during the Triassic Period. At PPPL, bedrock is part of the Stockton Formation, which is reportedly more than 500 feet thick and consists of fractured red siltstone and sandstone [Lew87]. Regionally, the formation strikes approximately north 65 degrees east, and dips approximately 8 degrees to the northwest. The occurrence of limited amounts of clean sand near the surface indicates the presence of the Pennsauken Formation. This alluvial material was probably deposited during the Aftonian Interglacial period of the Pleistocene Ice Age.

Within 25 miles, there are a number of documented faults; the closest of which is the Hopewell fault located about 8 miles from the site. The Flemington Fault and Ramapo Faults are located within 20 miles. None of these faults are determined to be "active" by the U.S. Geological Survey. This area of the country (eastern central US) is not earthquake-prone, despite the occurrence of minor earthquakes that have caused little or no damage.

The Millstone River and its supporting tributaries geographically dominate the region. The well-watered soils of the area have provided a wealth of natural resources including good agricultural lands from prehistoric times to the present. Land use was characterized by several small early centers of historic settlement and dispersed farmland. It has now been developed into industrial parks, housing developments, apartment complexes and shopping centers [Gr 77].

The topography of the site is relatively flat and open with elevations ranging from 110 feet in the northwestern corner to 80 feet above mean sea level along the southern boundary. The low-lying topography of the Millstone River drainage reflects the glacial origins of the surface soils; sandy loams with varying percents of clay predominate.

Two soil series are recognized for the immediate environs of the site. Each reflects differences in drainage and subsurface water tables. Along the low-lying banks of stream tributaries, Bee Brook, the soils are classified Nixon-Nixon Variant and Fallsington Variant Association and Urban Land [Lew87].

This series is characterized by nearly level to gently sloping upland soils, deep, moderate to well drained, with a loamy subsoil and substratum. The yellowish-white sands contain patches of mottled coloring caused by prolonged wetness. On a regional scale, the water table fluctuates between 1.5 and 2.5 feet below the surface in wet periods and drops below 5 feet during drier months.

In the slightly higher elevations (above 70 feet), the sandy loams are better drained and belong to the Sassafras series. The extensive farmlands and nurseries in the area indicate this soil provides a good environment for agricultural purposes, both today and in the past.

An upland forest type with dominant Oak forest characterizes vegetation of the site. Associated with the various oaks are Red Maple, Hickories, Sweetgums, Beech, Scarlet Oak, and Ash. Red, White, and Black Oaks are isolated in the lower poorly drained areas. Along the damp borders of Bee Brook, a bank of Sweetgum, Hickory, Beech, and Red Maple define the watercourse. The forest throughout most of the site has been removed either for farmland during the last century or recently for the construction of new facilities. Grass has replaced much of the open areas.

The under-story of the wooded areas is partially open with isolated patches of shrubs, vines, and saplings occurring mostly in the uplands area. The poorly drained areas have a low ground cover of ferns, grasses, and leaf litter.

All of PPPL's storm water runoff flows to Bee Brook, either directly *via* the detention basin (DSN001) or along the western swale to the wetlands south of the site. Approximately 45 % of the site's total area is covered by impervious surfaces - buildings, roadways and parking lots, and storage trailers.

PPPL's Stormwater Management Plan allows for a maximum impervious coverage of 60 % of the developable land, which excludes wetlands – 18 acres of the 88.5 acres (Exhibit 3-10) [PPPL 98].

Exhibit 7-2. Generalized Potentiometric Surface of the Bedrock Aquifer at PPPL [Le87]



Also, the 500-year flood plain elevation (85 ft above mean sea level) delineates the storm protection corridor, which is vital to the flood and water quality control program for PPPL as well as the Princeton Forrestal Center site. This "corridor" is preserved and protected from development by Princeton Forrestal Center in the Site Development Plan [PFC80].

The general direction of ground-water flow on the site is from the northwest of PPPL toward the southeast in the direction of Bee and Devil's Brooks. The operation of several building foundation drain sump pumps creates a local and shallow cone of depression radially toward the sumps (Exhibit 7-3 and 7-4).

Ground water is pumped from the sumps into the detention basin, which flows into Bee Brook. Bee Brook is hydraulically connected with ground water; during flooding stages, the brook recharges ground water and during low-flow periods, ground water discharges to the brook.

PPPL's "Ground water Protection Management Plan" (GPMP) is a requirement of DOE Order 5400.1, "General Environmental Protection Program." The GPMP is a written plan that PPPL uses as a management tool to ensure protection of ground water. The GPMP was implemented in conjunction with two ground water investigations; an investigation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and an investigation regarding tritium.

As required by NJDEP, PPPL performed ground water investigations to address potential impacts from former underground storage tanks (USTs), a formerly unlined detention basin, and in areas where spills occurred or may have occurred in the past. In all, PPPL has installed a total of 44 wells to monitor ground-water quality. Remedial Investigations and Remedial Alternative Assessment (RI/RAA) studies were conducted to delineate shallow ground water contamination and identify a suitable remedy as required by conditions of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). A Remedial Action Work Plan was approved by NJDEP in 2000. Ground water monitoring continues as part of the selected remedy.

Generally, all parameters measured meet the New Jersey Ground Water Quality Standards. Ground-water monitoring results show that tetrachloroethylene, trichloroethylene (PCE, TCE), and their natural degradation products are present in a number of shallow and intermediate-depth wells on C site (Exhibit 7-3). These VOCs are commonly contained in solvents or metal degreasing agents. In two wells, low levels of petroleum hydrocarbons were also detected. The source of the petroleum hydrocarbons is believed to have originated from former underground storage tanks that have been removed.

By mid-1995, all USTs at PPPL were removed with the exception of one tank that was abandoned in-place with NJDEP's approval. PPPL replaced all USTs with above ground storage tanks. PPPL determined that the hazard of digging up one tank, buried next to a high-voltage electrical transformer yard, was too great a risk. The tank passed a tightness-test; soil borings around the tank showed no indications of any leakage from the tank or its associated piping. It was then emptied, cleaned, and filled with concrete in accordance with NJDEP regulations.

Foundation de-watering sumps located on D site largely influence the ground-water gradient. The sumps create a significant cone of depression drawing ground water toward them (Exhibit 7-4). Under natural conditions, ground-water flow is to the south-southeast toward Bee Brook; it appears that all ground water (except in the northwestern corner) is drawn radially toward the D site sumps.

During Phase 1 and 2 of the Remedial Investigation, samples from wells and other ground water characterization activities lead to the identification of a new area of potential environmental concern (APEC) near the Former Annex Building Area (FABA). This finding expanded the site boundaries by 16.5 acres for a total of 88.5 acres. Characterization of soil and ground water in the former Annex Building area was conducted during 1997 and 1998 [HLA98].

Phase 3 activities were conducted to:

- Fulfill Baseline Ecological Evaluation requirements;
- Investigate soil and ground water quality at the Former Annex Building Area (FABA);
- Further assess PCE and other VOC concentrations and distribution in ground water.

In the Remedial Action Selection Report, PPPL proposed to NJDEP that no active ground water remediation beyond the capture and extraction performed by the building dewatering system be the method of choice. The natural attenuation processes in the subsurface augments building foundation-dewatering system. In a letter dated March 28, 2000, NJDEP approved the Remedial Investigation and Remedial Action Selection Reports [Sh99]. In response, PPPL prepared and submitted a Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP) outlining continual operation of the ground water extraction system and a long-term monitoring program [Sh00]. The RAWP was submitted to NJDEP in May 2000 and was implemented [HLA98, Sh00, Sh01, Sh03].

In 2001, RAWP activities accomplished the following:

1. Monitoring was conducted in February, May, August, and December;
2. Examination of analytical data and water level measurements indicates an inverse relationship between ground water level and VOC concentration;
3. Reduction in contact time between moving ground water and residual product entrained in the aquifer matrix caused a reduction in the VOC concentration during periods of higher ground water flow.
4. Natural attenuation (anaerobic biodegradation) occurs in the wetlands adjacent to CAS/RESA;
5. Contaminated ground water is captured by building sumps and is not migrating off-site.
6. VOCs in ground water do not pose a risk to site workers or the surrounding public.

Natural attenuation processes are active as evidenced by presence of degradation compounds in ground water down gradient of source. PCE is degraded into trichloroethylene (TCE) and cis-1,2-dichloroethlyene (c-1,2-DCE), and the presence of dissolved methane, reduced dissolved oxygen levels and negative redox values also provides definitive evidence of on-going biological degradation (See App. A, Tables 27-30) [Sh03].

Exhibit 7-5. Seven-Year Maximum Monitoring Results 1995-2001

Volatile Organic Compound	Inflow 1 μg/L	Inflow 2 μg/L	DSN 001 μg/L	Well D-12 μg/L
Tetrachloro-ethylene	4.43	*5.9*	1.96	10.6
Trichloro-ethylene	*11.7*	4.3	<1.0	5.43
New Jersey Ground water quality standard: PCE & TCE	1.0 μg/L			

Notes: 1995-2001 data are used for 7-year maximum. *Italics indicate that the maximum occurred in 2001.* Sampling locations shown in Exhibit 4-3.

The second investigation began in August 1995, when the tritium concentration from well TW-1, located north of the TFTR stack, was found to be above the background or baseline concentration, 789 *versus* 150 pico Curies/Liter (pCi/L), respectively. As a result of this finding, PPPL began looking into the cause of the concentration increase. More wells and ground water sumps were sampled, underground utilities were tested for leaks, soil was tested, and roof drains were sampled. In addition, rainwater-sampling stations were established and sampled.

The results of this program were that no leaks were found emanating from underground utilities; soil results and utility testing inspections supported this finding. Drain samples from the liquid effluent collection tank roof showed that tritium concentrations were elevated as were soil samples next to drain spouts.

Rain water samples showed elevated levels of tritium during February 2001 (14,870 pCi/L at station R2 South) when atmospheric releases were not elevated (4.917 Curies *vs.* 17.36 Curies 8/15/01) (Exhibit 4-6); this is different than what has been observed in previously elevated tritium in rain water. A number of documents have described the effect of tritium releases and rain. Rain droplets act as a scrubber and wash tritiated water vapor (HTO) out of the plume from the stack [Mu90]. The water infiltrates into the ground, and eventually, some of the tritium reaches the ground water table and the monitoring wells.

The highest concentrations of tritium in the ground water occurred in November 2001: 1,679 pCi/L at TW-1 (compared to the Drinking Water standard of 20,000 pCi/L). The ground water results showed that the tritium concentrations fluctuate over time. PPPL believes that tritium concentrations in the atmosphere, amount of precipitation (rainfall), and time of year all have an effect on the concentration of tritium detected in the ground water. ☼



Exhibit 7-3
PCE ISOCONCENTRATION CONTOURS
IN SHALLOW GROUND WATER
August 2001



EXHIBIT 7- 4

SHALLOW GROUND WATER CONTOURS
AUGUST 2001
(contour interval 2 feet)

PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY
PLAINSBORO, NEW JERSEY

Chapter
8

QUALITY ASSURANCE

In 2001, analyses of environmental samples for radioactivity and other parameters were conducted by PPPL's on-site analytical laboratory (Exhibit 8-1).

Exhibit 8-1. PPPL Technician analyzing water samples at PEARL facility

The PEARL procedures follow the DOE's Environmental Measurements Laboratory's *EML HASL-300 Manual* [Vo82], EPA's *Methods and Guidance for Analysis of Water* [EPA99] *and Standard Methods of Water and Wastewater Analysis* [SM92] that are nationally recognized standards. PPPL's approved procedures are documented on Health Physics web page. [PPPL00e].

To maintain its radiological certification, PPPL participates in the DOE Environmental Monitoring Laboratory (EML) program and New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) Laboratory Certification program. For non-radiological parameters, PPPL participates in NJDEP Laboratory Certification program (NJ ID #12471).

A requirement of the certification program is to analyze within the acceptance range the quality control (QC) and proficiency test (PT) samples that are purchased from outside laboratory suppliers. These PT samples are provided as blind samples for analysis; the test results are submitted prior to the end of the study. Results are supplied to PPPL and NJDEP to confirm a laboratories' ability to correctly analyze those parameters being tested [see App. A, Table 32].

Beginning in 1984, PPPL participated in a NJDEP certification program initially through the USEPA Quality Assurance (QA) program. In March 1986, EPA/Las Vegas and NJDEP reviewed PPPL's procedures and inspected its facilities. The laboratory became certified for tritium analysis in urine (bioassays) and water. In 2001, USEPA turned the QA program over to the states; NJDEP chose a contractor laboratory, ERA, to supply the radiological proficiency tests. Results in Appendix A, Table 32, show that PEARL's results were in the acceptable range.

In 2001, PEARL performed EML semi-annual performance evaluation tests for radionuclides in water. PEARL results were close to EML's test results for tritium (though the results were not reported). Gamma spectroscopy instruments were operational in 2001.

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Office Of Quality Assurance conducted a regulatory inspection of PEARL in 2001. Due to the laboratory's relocation in December 2000 and the request for certification to conduct chemical oxygen demand (COD) analyses in water, the inspection focused on the procedures, records, and operations of the water chemistry and radiological laboratories. Certification was granted after PPPL submitted revised procedures to NJDEP.

In 2001, PPPL followed its internal procedures, EM-OP-31—"Surface Water Sampling Procedure," and EM-OP-38—"Ground Water Sampling Procedures." These procedures provide detailed descriptions of all NJPDES permit-required sampling and analytical methods for collection of samples, analyses of these samples, and quality assurance/quality control requirements. Chain-of-custody forms are required for all samples; holding times are closely checked to ensure that analyses are performed within established holding times and that the data is valid; trip blanks are required for all organic compound analyses.

Subcontractor laboratories used by PPPL are certified by NJDEP and participate in the state's QA program; the subcontractor laboratories must also follow their own internal quality assurance plans.

Chapter 9

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

ES&H and Infrastructure Support Department:

Jack Anderson - review and comments on this report.

Jim Graham - providing web site assistance

Margaret Kevin-King - fertilizer, herbicide, and pesticide data and the recycling data.

Charlie Kircher - fuel consumption data and on-site water-utilization.

Jerry Levine - NEPA data, safety statistics, and dose calculations.

Environmental Restoration/Waste Management Division:

John Bennevich – rainwater collection and supplying many photos.

Rick Cargill – surface/ground water sampling.

Tom McGeachen -system operations and pollution prevention data.

Maria Pueyo - TSCA data, hazardous and radiological waste data

Keith Rule – D&D, diamond wire cutting

Rob Sheneman - ground water data, review and comments on this report.

Drexel University Co-operative Students:

Alexandra Deyo and Jennifer Mkywawa – surface and ground water sampling and data table generation.

Health Physics Branch:

George Ascione - radiological and calibration data.

Keith Case – meteorological data.

Carl Szathmary - in-house radiochemical and water analyses.

Quality Assurance Division:

Lynne Yager - OSHA Statistics.

Site Protection Division:

Bill Slavin - SARA Title III and Toxic Release Inventory information.

Information Services Division:

Elle Starkman - Photos of NSTX, TFTR, and Earth Day Posters.

This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-76CHO3073.

Chapter

10

REFERENCES

Am98 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc., 1998, *Baseline Ecological Evaluation Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey*.

As02 Ascione, G., 2002, *2001 Tritium Environmental Data and D site Stack Tritium Release Data*, personal communication.

Be87a Bentz, L. K., and Bender, D. S., 1987, *Population Projections, 0-50 Mile Radius from the CIT Facility: Supplementary Documentation for an Environmental Assessment for the CIT at PPPL*, EGG-EP-7751, INEL, Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Be87b Bentz, L. K., and Bender, D. S., 1987, *Socioeconomic Information, Plainsboro Area, New Jersey: Supplementary Documentation for an Environmental Assessment for the CIT at PPPL*, EGG-EP-7752, INEL, Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Ch02 Chase, K., January 2002, *Annual Precipitation Report (2001)," Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory*, PPPL internal memo.

Co81 Corley, J. P. et al., 1982, *A Guide for: Environmental Radiological Surveillance at U.S. Department of Energy Installations*, DOE/EP-023, (National Technical Information Service).

DOE88 DOE Order 4330.2C, 3/23/88, *In-House Energy Management*.

DOE90 DOE Order 5400.1, 6/29/90, *General Environmental Protection Program*.

DOE92 Department of Energy, January 1992, *Environmental Assessment for the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor D-T Modifications and Operations*, DOE/E-0566.

DOE93a DOE Order 5400.5, 1/7/93, *Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment*.

DOE93b Department of Energy, 1993, *Environmental Assessment: the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor Decommissioning and Decontamination and the Tokamak Physics Experiment at the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory*, DOE/EA-0813.

DOE99a DOE-Chicago Operations Office, June 1999, Vol.1, *Integrated Safety Management System Verification*.

DOE99b DOE Order 435.1, July 9, 1999, *Radioactive Waste Management*.

DOE99c DOE Order 474.1, August 11, 1999, *Control & Accountability of Nuclear Material*.

DOE-PAO 00 Department of Energy-Princeton Area Office, September 2000, *Environmental Permitting Program Assessment.*

DOE-PAO 01 Department of Energy-Princeton Area Office, September 2001, *Stony Brook l Regional Sewerage Authority Industrial Wastewater Discharge License Unified Safety Review*

Dy93 Dynamac Corporation, August 1993, *CERCLA Inventory Report*, prepared for Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory.

En87 Envirosphere Company, 1987, *Ecological Survey of Compact Ignition Tokamak Site and Surroundings at Princeton University's Forrestal Campus*, Envirosphere Company, Division of Ebasco, Report to INEL for the CIT.

EPA98 Environmental Protection Agency, Region II, March 13, 1998, S*PCC Field Inspection Report*, C. Jimenez, SPCC Coordinator, letter to R. Sheneman, PPPL.

EPA99 Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, June 1999, *Methods and Guidance for Analysis of Water*, EPA 821-C-99-004.

ERDA75 Energy Research & Development Administration, 1975, *Final Environmental Statement for the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor Facilities*, ERDA-1544.

Fi94 Finley, V., June 1994, *Ground Water Quality Report for the NJPDES Permit Renewal Application Permit No. NJ0086029*.

Fi01 Finley, V., February 9, 2001*, Sulfur in No. 4 Fuel Oil Report,* NJDEP Case No. 01-02-09-1746-59, ER-01-01.

FSAR82 *Final Safety Analysis Report, Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor Facilities*, Princeton Plasmas Physics Laboratory, 1982.

Gr77 Grossman, J. W., 1977, *Archaeological and Historical Survey of the Proposed Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor*, Rutgers University.

HLA 97 Harding Lawson Associates, March 28, 1997, *Remedial Investigation/Remedial Action Report Phase I and II, Princeton University Plasma Physics Laboratory, James Forrestal Campus, Plainsboro, New Jersey*.

HLA98 Harding Lawson Associates, September 25, 1998, Remedial Investigation/Remedial Action Report Addendum, Phase 3 Activities, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, James Forrestal Campus, Plainsboro, New Jersey, 17 volumes.

Jo74 Jordan, C. F., Stewart, M., and Kline, J., 1974, *Tritium Movement in Soils: The Importance of Exchange and High Initial Dispersion*, Health Physics 27: 37-43.

Kin02a King, M., 2002, *Annual (2001) Solid Waste Data*, worksheet.

Kin02b King, M., 2002, *2001 PPPL Fertilizer, Pesticide, and Herbicide Report*, personal communication.

Kir02a Kircher, C., 2002, *2001 Fuel Use in Boilers 2-5*, personal communication.

Kir02b Kircher, C., 2002, *2001 PPPL Water Usage Data*, personal communication.

Lev02a Levine, J., 2002, *2001 Effective Dose Equivalent Calculations for PPPL Operations*, personal communication.

Lev02b Levine, J., 2002, *2001 NEPA Status, and 2001 Safety Statistics*, personal communication.

Lew87 Lewis, J. C. and Spitz, F. J., 1987, *Hydrogeology, Ground-Water Quality, and The Possible Effects of a Hypothetical Radioactive-Water Spill, Plainsboro Township, New Jersey*, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 87-4092, West Trenton, NJ.

McG02a McGeachen, T., 2002, *2001 Air Emissions from Boilers - NO_x Calculations*, personal communication.

McG02b McGeachen, T., 2002, *2001 Pollution Prevention and Waste Management Annual Report,* personal communication, http://twlight.saic.com/Wastemin/default.asp.

Mu77 Murphy, C. E., Jr., Watts, J. R., and Corey, J. C., 1977, *Environmental Tritium Transport from Atmospheric Release of Molecular Tritium*, Health Physics 33:325-331.

Mu82 Murphy, C. E., Jr., Sweet, C. W., and Fallon, R. D., 1982, *Tritium Transport Around Nuclear Facilities*, Nuclear Safety 23:667-685.

Mu90 Murphy, C. E., Jr., 1990, *The Transport, Dispersion, and Cycling of Tritium in the Environment*, Savannah River Site Report, WSRC-RP-90-462, UC702, 70 pp.

NJB97 NJ Breeding Bird Atlas Report, 1997, *A New Jersey Breeding Bird Atlas Data Base Inquiry for Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey,* Cape May Bird Observatory (Letter), January 13, 1998.

NJDEP84 NJ Department of Environmental Protection, December 1984, *Bee Brook - Delineation of Floodway and Flood Hazard Area*.

NJDEP95 NJ Department of Environmental Protection, May 12, 1995, *Chronic Toxicity Requirement* R. DeWan, Chief of Standard Permitting, letter to V. Finley, PPPL.

NJDEP97 New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Natural Heritage Program, 1997,*A Natural Heritage Data Base Inquiry for Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey*, NJDEP Natural Heritage Program (Letter), NHP file No. 97-4007435.

NJDEP98a New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, June 2, 1998, *Determination of Non-Major Facility Status*, D. Jennus, Chief, Field Verification Section, to H. A. Wrigley, USDOE.

NJDEP98b New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, July 31,1998, *Compliance Evaluation and Assistance Inspection*, J. Olko, Enforcement Inspector, letter to H.A. Wrigley, USDOE .

NJDEP99 NJ Department of Environmental Protection, June 1999, *New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) Surface Water Permit*, NJ0023922.

PFC80 Princeton Forrestal Center, 1980, *Storm Water Management Plan Phase I*, prepared by Sasaki Associates, Inc.

PPPL95 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, March 1995, *Proposed Site Treatment Plan [PSTP] for Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory [PPPL].*

PPPL98a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, April 22, 1998, *Laboratory Mission*, O-001, Rev.1.

PPPL98b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, August 10, 1998, *Non-Emergency Environmental Release –Notification and Reporting* Procedure ESH-013.

PPPL98c Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, August 31, 1998, Environmental Restoration & Waste Management Division, EM-CP-21, *Low-level Radioactive and Mixed Waste Certification Plan.*

PPPL98d Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, *Nuclear Materials Control and Accountability (MC&A) Plan,* HP-PP-06. Rev. 4.

PPPL99a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, June 1999, *PPPL Integrated Safety Management Policy,* Rev. 1.

PPPL99b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, July 1999, *Environmental Monitoring Plan*, Rev. 2.

PPPL00a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, June 2000, *Ground Water Protection Plan (GWPP).*

PPPL00b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, October 30, 2000, ESHD 5008, Section 7, *Waste Management*.

PPPL00c Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, November 13, 2000, ESHD 5008, Section 10, *Radiation Safety, Subparts L, "Release of Materials & Equipment from Radiological Areas*, and P, *Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program*.

PPPL00d Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, November 2000, *Radiation Protection Plan.*

PPPL00e Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2000, *Health Physics Procedures (Calibration, Dosimetry, Environmental, Field Operations, Laboratory, Material Control and Accountability, and Radiological Laboratory).*

PPPL01a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, May 2001, *Chlorine Progress Report NKNJPDES NJ0029322*.

PPPL01b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, December 2001, *Chronic Toxicity Biomonitoring Tests for DSN001 Report*.

PPPL02a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, March 2002, *SARA Title III, Section 312 – 2001 Annual Report*.

PSAR78 *Preliminary Safety Analysis Report, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor*, 1978.

Pu02a Pueyo, M., July 2002, *PCB Inventory*.

Pu02b Pueyo, M., 2002, *2001 Hazardous and Radioactive Waste Report*, personal communication.

Ru02 Rule. Keith, E. Perry, R. Parsells, 2002, *Diamond Wire Cutting Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor***.**

Sa80 Sasaki Associates, February 1980, *Princeton Forrestal Center, Storm Water Management Plan for Bee Brook Watershed*, prepared for Delaware & Raritan Canal Commission.

Sh99 Sheneman, R., October 1999, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory - Phase IV Remedial Investigation Report and Remediation Action Selection Report*.

Sh00 Sheneman, R., May 2000, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory -- Remedial Action Work Plan*.

Sh01 Sheneman, R., August 2001, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Remedial Action Monitoring Report.*

Sh03 Sheneman, R., July 2003, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory - Remedial Action Monitoring Report*.

SE96 Smith Environmental Technologies, Corp., February 29, 1996, *Final Site-Wide Storm Water Management Plan, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, James Forrestal Campus, Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey*.

SM92 American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Environment Federation, 1992 (16th edition) and 1998 (18 th edition), *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*.

St82 Strenge, D. L., Kennedy, W. E., Jr., and Corley, J. P., 1982, *Environmental Dose Assessment Methods for Normal Operations of DOE Nuclear Sites*, PNL-4410/UC-11.

VNH98 Van Note Harvey, 1998, *PPPL Spill Control and Countermeasure Plan*.

Vo82 Volchok, H. L., and de Planque, G., 1982, *EML Procedures Manual HASL 300*, Department of Energy, Environmental Measurements Laboratory, 376 Hudson St., NY, NY 10014.

Appendix
A

TABLES

Table 1. PPPL Radiological Design Objectives and Regulatory Limits[a]

CONDITION		PUBLIC	EXPOSURE[b]	OCCUPA-TIONAL	EXPOSURE
		REGULATORY LIMIT	DESIGN OBJECTIVE	REGULATORY LIMIT	DESIGN OBJECTIVE
ROUTINE OPERATION Dose equivalent to an individual from routine operations (rem per year, unless otherwise indicated)	NORMAL OPERATIONS	0.1 Total, 0.01[c] Airborne, 0.004 Drinking Water	0.01 Total	5	1
	ANTICIPATED EVENTS $(1 > P \geq 10^{-2})$	0.5 Total (including normal operation)	0.05 per event		
ACCIDENTS Dose equivalent to an individual from an accidental release (rem per event)	UNLIKELY EVENTS $10^{-2} > P \geq 10^{-4}$	2.5	0.5	(e)	(e)
	EXTREMELY UNLIKELY EVENTS $10^{-4} > P \geq 10^{-6}$	25	5[d]	(e)	(e)
	INCREDIBLE EVENTS $10^{-6} > P$	NA	NA	NA	NA

P = Probability of occurrence in a year.

[a] All operations must be planned to incorporate radiation safety guidelines, practices and procedures included in PPPL ESHD 5008, Section 10.

[b] Evaluated at PPPL site boundary.

[c] Compliance with this limit is to be determined by calculating the highest effective dose equivalent to any member of the public at any offsite point where there is a residence, school, business or office

[d] For design basis accidents (DBAs), i.e., postulated accidents or natural forces and resulting conditions for which the confinement structure, systems, components and equipment must meet their functional goals, the design objective is 0.5 rem.

(e) See PPPL ESHD-5008, Section 10, Chapter 10.1302 for emergency personnel exposure limits.

Table 2. Annual Precipitation Data for 2001

START DATE	WEEK	INCH	INCH/MONTH	MONTH	ACCUMLATION
1-Jan-01	1	0.3750			0.3750
8-Jan-01	2	0.3500			0.7250
15-Jan-01	3	1.8750			2.6000
22-Jan-01	4	0.7500	**4.0000**	**January**	3.3500
29-Jan-01	5	0.6500			4.0000
5-Feb-01	6	0.5250			4.5250
12-Feb-01	7	0.4000			4.9250
19-Feb-01	8	0.5000	**2.0750**	**February**	5.4250
26-Feb-01	9	0.0500			5.4750
5-Mar-01	10	1.0500			6.5250
12-Mar-01	11	1.8000			8.3250
19-Mar-01	12	1.1000			9.4250
26-Mar-01	13	2.0750	**6.0250**	**March**	11.5000
2-Apr-01	14	0.6000			12.1000
9-Apr-01	15	0.9500			13.0500
16-Apr-01	16	0.2250			13.2750
23-Apr-01	17	0.0000	**1.7750**	**April**	13.2750
30-Apr-01	18	0.0000			13.2750
7-May-01	19	0.0000			13.2750
14-May-01	20	0.0000			13.2750
21-May-01	21	2.8500			16.1250
28-May-01	22	1.5500	**4.4000**	**May**	17.6750
4-Jun-01	23	0.0000			17.6750
11-Jun-01	24	3.4500			21.1250
18-Jun-01	25	0.5000			21.6250
25-Jun-01	26	0.5000	**4.4500**	**June**	22.1250
2-Jul-01	27	0.2500			22.3750
9-Jul-01	28	0.0000			22.3750
16-Jul-01	29	1.1500			23.5250
23-Jul-01	30	0.0500	**1.8000**	**July**	23.5750
30-Jul-01	31	0.3500			23.9250
6-Aug-01	32	2.6000			26.5250
13-Aug-01	33	0.1500			26.6750
20-Aug-01	34	0.0000			26.6750
27-Aug-01	35	0.5000	**3.2500**	**August**	27.1750
3-Sep-01	36	0.0950			27.2700
10-Sep-01	37	1.0500			28.3200
17-Sep-01	38	0.3000			28.6200
24-Sep-01	39	0.4250	**1.8700**	**September**	29.0450
1-Oct-01	40	0.1500			29.1950
8-Oct-01	41	0.3250			29.5200
15-Oct-01	42	0.0000			29.5200
22-Oct-01	43	0.0000	**0.4750**	**October**	29.5200
29-Oct-01	44	0.1500			29.6700
5-Nov-01	45	0.0000			29.6700
12-Nov-01	46	0.0000			29.6700
19-Nov-01	47	0.7500			30.4200
26-Nov-01	48	0.0000	**0.9000**	**November**	30.4200
3-Dec-01	49	0.8500			31.2700
10-Dec-01	50	0.2500			31.5200
17-Dec-01	51	1.2500			32.7700
24-Dec-01	52	0.0000	**2.3500**	**December**	**32.7700**

Table 3. D–Site Tritium Stack Releases in Curies in 2001

Week Ending	HTO (Ci)	HT (Ci)	Weekly total (Ci)	Month	Annual Total (Ci)
10-Jan-01	3.770	0.311	4.081		**4.081**
17-Jan-01	1.940	0.078	2.018		**6.099**
24-Jan-01	1.070	0.048	1.118		**7.216**
31-Jan-01	3.440	0.388	3.828	**January**	**11.044**
7-Feb-01	3.650	0.108	3.758		**14.802**
14-Feb-01	4.710	0.207	4.917		**19.719**
21-Feb-01	1.090	0.180	1.270	**February**	**20.989**
7-Mar-01	5.400	0.228	5.628		**26.617**
14-Mar-01	1.050	0.077	1.127		**27.744**
21-Mar-01	2.380	0.110	2.490		**30.234**
28-Mar-01	3.300	0.230	3.530	**March**	**33.764**
4-Apr-01	3.380	0.284	3.664		**37.428**
11-Apr-01	1.110	0.145	1.255		**38.683**
18-Apr-01	1.370	0.109	1.479		**40.162**
25-Apr-01	0.252	1.140	1.392	**April**	**41.554**
2-May-01	2.720	0.284	3.004		**44.558**
9-May-01	1.580	0.118	1.698		**46.256**
16-May-01	2.080	0.112	2.192		**48.448**
23-May-01	1.660	0.097	1.757		**50.205**
30-May-01	1.750	0.080	1.830	**May**	**52.035**
6-Jun-01	2.550	0.317	2.867		**54.902**
13-Jun-01	4.550	0.471	5.021		**59.923**
20-Jun-01	1.370	1.010	2.380		**62.303**
22-Jun-01	4.330	8.060	12.390		**74.693**
27-Jun-01	2.130	0.850	2.980	**June**	**77.673**
5-Jul-01	2.090	0.802	2.892		**80.565**
11-Jul-01	2.580	1.840	4.420		**84.985**
18-Jul-01	4.400	1.810	6.210		**91.195**
25-Jul-01	6.710	1.570	8.280	**July**	**99.475**
1-Aug-01	8.790	1.740	10.530		**110.005**
8-Aug-01	9.000	1.530	10.530		**120.535**
15-Aug-01	15.200	2.160	17.360		**137.895**
22-Aug-01	10.900	1.700	12.600		**150.495**
29-Aug-01	7.860	1.060	8.920	**August**	**159.415**
5-Sep-01	7.530	2.180	9.710		**169.125**
12-Sep-01	7.550	1.760	9.310		**178.435**
19-Sep-01	6.260	0.872	7.132		**185.567**
26-Sep-01	0.864	0.137	1.001	**September**	**186.568**
3-Oct-01	5.150	0.870	6.020		**192.588**
10-Oct-01	5.560	0.623	6.183		**198.771**
17-Oct-01	7.430	0.618	8.048		**206.819**
24-Oct-01	9.890	0.549	10.439		**217.258**
31-Oct-01	5.740	0.390	6.130	**October**	**223.388**
7-Nov-01	6.980	0.333	7.313		**230.701**
14-Nov-01	6.150	0.313	6.463		**237.164**
21-Nov-01	3.910	0.189	4.099		**241.263**
28-Nov-01	3.120	0.141	3.261	**November**	**244.524**
5-Dec-01	4.570	0.173	4.743		**249.267**
12-Dec-00	3.030	0.083	3.113		**252.380**
19-Dec-01	2.860	0.080	2.940		**255.321**
25-Dec-01	2.350	0.053	2.403		**257.724**
1-Jan-02	2.136	0.124	2.260	**December**	**259.984**
	221.242	38.742	259.984	**Total 2001**	**259.984**

Table 4. Air Tritium (HT) Concentrations (in picoCuries/meter³) Collected On-Site in 2001

Week Ending	T1	T2	T3	T4
8-Jan-01	0.594	0.727	0.810	1.541
15-Jan-01	2.085	2.095	2.023	1.994
25-Jan-01	1.481	1.380	1.389	1.182
31-Jan-01	2.755.	2.397	2.496	2.099
7-Feb-01	1.482	1.493	1.744	1.219
12-Feb-01	2.957	1.907	2.893	1.778
2120eb-01	1.703	1.607	2.641	1.032
26-Feb-01	2.532	8.283	2.017	3.116
7-Mar-01	1.047	1.060	4.650	1.304
14-Mar-01	1.173	1.777	1.611	0.300
20-Mar-01	5.318	2.517	3.190	2.748
27-Mar-01	3.283	1.922	3.658	1.554
3-Apr-01	2.783	1.803	4.055	6.716
17-Apr-01	2.510	0.810	4.798	2.766
23-Apr-01	1.497	2.255	2.136	1.954
1-May-01	4.996	3.136	5.402	4.235
8-May-01	17.073	19.972	3.086	24.401
14-May-01	1.927	2.647	2.784	2.826
21-May-01	1.428	1.436	13.718	1.299
27-May-01	3.908	4.917	2.832	5.971
4-Jun-01	1.627	2.502	2.944	2.286
11-Jun-01	1.318	1.301	104.724	6.505
18-Jun-01	3.299	2.276	3.808	1.541
25-Jun-01	77.142	140.595	21.482	12.242
3-Jul-01	2.209	1.370	7.468	3.715
11-Jul-01	2.909	12.869	25.293	2.578
16-Jul-01	4.248	1.904	12.655	2.588
23-Jul-01	13.381	8.612	57.651	9.295
31-Jul-01	12.640	3.954	4.243	1.764
16-Aug-01	16.687	12.925	20.159	3.276
24-Aug-01	9.752	5.498	4.660	1.825
4-Sep-01	14.213	24.494	19.621	10.155
10-Sep-01	5.359	8.432	5.497	6.844
19-Sep-01	4.439	13.614	7.463	8.998
28-Sep-01	4.457	7.882	3.646	2.464
9-Oct-01	3.915	6.883	23.096	9.889
18-Oct-01	6.943	7.344	4.978	1.752
24-Oct-01	1.792	2.659	7.386	1.546
1-Nov-01	3.913	0.344	8.287	0.000
16-Nov-01	2.049	2.085	4.144	1.154
27-Nov-01	0.959	0.937	8.085	0.837
7-Dec-01	5.864	5.758	7.810	2.162
18-Dec-01	12.816	0.652	12.472	0.000
14-Jan-02	6.737	3.322	1.483	0.000

Table 5. Air Tritium (HTO) Concentrations (in picoCuries/meter^3) Collected On-Site in 2001

Week Ending	T1	T2	T3	T4
8-Jan-01	0.224	0.251	0.304	0.576
15-Jan-01	2.085	2.095	2.023	1.994
25-Jan-01	1.481	1.380	1.389	1.182
31-Jan-01	2.463	2.397	2.225	2.099
7-Feb-01	1.482	1.493	1.744	1.219
12-Feb-01	2.957	1.907	2.893	1.778
2120eb-01	1.703	1.607	1.998	1.032
26-Feb-01	2.255	4.756	2.017	2.015
7-Mar-01	1.047	1.060	2.651	1.304
14-Mar-01	1.173	1.777	1.611	0.300
20-Mar-01	2.890	2.517	2.269	2.099
27-Mar-01	2.171	1.876	2.375	1.554
3-Apr-01	1.955	1.803	3.335	3.438
17-Apr-01	2.433	0.810	2.317	2.435
23-Apr-01	1.497	2.255	2.136	1.954
1-May-01	3.530	2.547	3.474	2.546
8-May-01	23.083	18.577	1.893	21.561
14-May-01	1.927	2.647	2.784	2.406
21-May-01	1.428	1.436	17.484	1.299
27-May-01	6.645	10.191	2.285	5.003
4-Jun-01	1.627	2.502	2.944	2.286
11-Jun-01	1.318	1.301	63.456	5.774
18-Jun-01	5.451	3.458	8.975	2.499
25-Jun-01	147.185	293.006	18.363	15.967
3-Jul-01	2.970	1.564	12.510	6.481
11-Jul-01	5.040	28.558	16.236	3.723
16-Jul-01	5.825	1.904	18.399	2.588
23-Jul-01	19.102	17.843	40.492	11.411
31-Jul-01	14.304	4.637	6.022	1.764
16-Aug-01	16.803	15.918	17.140	3.428
24-Aug-01	9.665	7.332	6.023	1.868
4-Sep-01	20.964	42.524	11.277	13.544
10-Sep-01	8.774	11.211	7.926	7.413
19-Sep-01	6.643	21.452	5.975	12.427
28-Sep-01	4.477	6.151	4.236	2.029
9-Oct-01	5.062	9.659	17.542	11.116
18-Oct-01	6.409	10.496	7.571	1.752
24-Oct-01	1.792	2.659	7.294	1.546
1-Nov-01	3.371	0.205	5.145	0.000
16-Nov-01	2.049	2.085	2.355	1.154
27-Nov-01	0.959	0.937	4.526	0.837
7-Dec-01	5.951	5.329	7.490	2.303
18-Dec-01	2.601	0.641	6.596	0.000
14-Jan-02	2.284	1.365	0.675	0.000

Table 6. Air Tritium (HT) Concentrations (in picoCuries/meter^3) Collected Off-site in 2001

Week Ending	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	BM1
8-Jan-01	1.922	0.319	0.000	0.548	0.257	0.772	248.633
15-Jan-01	2.201	2.176	2.010	2.009	2.131	2.366	2.522
25-Jan-01	1.485	1.543	1.611	1.388	1.413	1.356	1.282
31-Jan-01	1.525	1.582	1.607	2.300	2.160	2.329	1.282
7-Feb-01	1.317	1.337	1.348	1.629	1.296	1.304	0.942
12-Feb-01	2.360	3.564	10.595	2.824	5.129	3.755	9.170
2120eb-01	1.190	1.127	6.344	1.626	1.133	1.555	0.894
26-Feb-01	3.271	2.990	3.929	1.963	1.997	2.612	6.449
7-Mar-01	1.642	1.020	1.007	1.094	7.261	1.384	2.136
14-Mar-01	1.728	1.826	1.694	2.001	1.795	2.419	8.687
20-Mar-01	3.486	2.206	3.486	3.523	3.021	2.701	4.177
27-Mar-01	1.965	2.050	1.883	1.654	2.515	2.243	3.072
3-Apr-01	2.804	1.958	2.457	1.795	1.939	1.810	3.772
17-Apr-01	0.731	1.458	1.121	1.028	0.801	0.707	0.725
23-Apr-01	2.337	2.614	2.334	1.448	2.265	1.512	2.253
1-May-01	6.991	1.403	1.944	1.919	1.360	6.932	1.319
8-May-01	1.911	2.527	1.126	3.321	2.128	14.526	2.859
14-May-01	2.803	1.940	3.192	7.758	4.887	2.906	3.394
21-May-01	1.557	1.491	1.948	2.095	1.434	2.244	1.366
27-May-01	1.531	1.052	1.630	1.571	3.233	1.171	1.583
4-Jun-01	2.066	2.400	2.852	1.743	1.898	2.106	0.978
11-Jun-01	1.119	1.721	1.247	1.614	1.154	1.090	1.874
18-Jun-01	1.855	1.250	2.281	1.611	1.189	1.841	1.444
25-Jun-01	2.173	2.020	5.487	2.091	2.519	1.417	10.136
3-Jul-01	3.184	1.783	1.977	1.547	2.260	2.583	0.901
11-Jul-01	1.120	1.656	1.785	1.126	1.751	1.735	2.612
16-Jul-01	2.674	3.574	2.718	2.707	1.788	2.488	2.612
23-Jul-01	3.249	4.336	5.547	3.162	6.189	2.398	3.183
31-Jul-01	1.496	2.903	1.286	2.188	1.194	2.088	1.340
16-Aug-01	5.888	1.189	3.371	1.963	1.408	3.802	2.364
24-Aug-01	2.397	3.851	1.415	1.449	1.319	1.302	1.215
4-Sep-01	1.007	1.102	1.116	1.028	1.107	1.094	7.057
10-Sep-01	1.522	1.413	2.577	3.179	2.321	2.722	8.134
19-Sep-01	1.225	1.215	1.243	1.214	1.195	1.180	9.538
28-Sep-01	1.494	1.710	1.418	1.551	1.522	1.573	5.970
9-Oct-01	1.795	0.868	3.015	677.734	1.889	0.963	2.415
18-Oct-01	1.703	1.722	1.885	853.573	1.144	1.562	1.753
24-Oct-01	1.970	1.824	1.832	1852.340	1.980	1.779	2.062
1-Nov-01	0.000	0.000	0.361	1872.919	0.000	0.000	0.175
16-Nov-01	1.231	1.814	1.112	1233.013	1.197	1.097	0.175
27-Nov-01	0.930	0.904	0.954	941.127	0. 992	1.496	1.536
7-Dec-01	5.397	3.391	7.830	123.674	4.545	2.191	5.175
18-Dec-01	4.725	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.669	1.828	0.000
14-Jan-02	0.000	0.000	6.150	10.472	0.000	0.839	0.000

Table 7. Air Tritium (HTO) Concentration (in picoCuries/meter^3) Collected Off-Site in 2001

Week Ending	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	BM1
8-Jan-01	0.808	0.197	0.000	0.257	0.112	0.172	2.590
15-Jan-01	2.201	2.176	2.010	2.009	2.131	2.366	2.522
25-Jan-01	1.485	1.543	1.611	1.388	1.413	1.356	1.282
31-Jan-01	1.525	1.582	1.607	2.300	2.160	2.329	1.282
7-Feb-01	1.317	1.337	1.348	1.629	1.296	1.304	0.942
12-Feb-01	2.360	2.930	2.865	2.824	3.029	3.755	1.986
2120eb-01	1.190	1.127	1.650	1.626	1.133	1.555	0.894
26-Feb-01	2.066	1.992	2.395	1.963	1.997	2.327	1.722
7-Mar-01	1.542	1.020	1.007	1.094	4.994	1.384	1.14
14-Mar-01	1.728	1.826	1.694	2.001	1.795	2.419	3.558
20-Mar-01	2.390	2.206	2.809	3.523	2.522	2.701	1.307
27-Mar-01	1.965	2.817	1.883	1.654	2.515	2.270	1.007
3-Apr-01	2.804	1.958	2.066	1.795	1.939	1.810	2.054
17-Apr-01	0.731	1.582	1.121	1.028	0.801	0.707	0.725
23-Apr-01	2.337	3.054	2.334	1.448	2.265	1.512	2.032
1-May-01	3.658	1.403	1.944	1.919	1.360	4.863	1.319
8-May-01	1.911	2.812	1.126	2.609	2.732	16.271	2.859
14-May-01	2.803	1.940	4.203	11.594	5.248	2.906	2.878
21-May-01	1.557	1.491	1.948	2.095	1.434	2.244	1.366
29-May-01	1.531	1.052	1.630	2.845	4.834	1.171	1.583
4-Jun-01	2.066	2.400	2.631	1.743	1.898	2.106	0.978
11-Jun-01	1.119	1.721	1.247	1.614	1.154	1.090	1.874
18-Jun-01	1.855	1.250	3.150	3.426	1.189	1.841	1.444
25-Jun-01	2.910	2.687	2.185	2.091	6.035	1.417	4.606
3-Jul-01	3.301	2.273	1.576	1.547	4.027	3.533	0.901
11-Jul-01	1.120	2.153	1.785	1.126	1.751	3.843	3.052
16-Jul-01	2.674	3.221	2.718	2.707	1.788	2.488	3.052
23-Jul-01	5.827	3.036	10.279	8.083	3.017	4.620	1.340
31-Jul-01	1.496	2.560	1.286	2.188	1.194	4.006	1.928
16-Aug-01	5.368	1.224	0.977	2.070	1.240	3.220	2.364
24-Aug-01	2.397	3.076	1.415	1.449	1.319	1.302	1.215
4-Sep-01	1.007	1.102	1.116	1.028	1.107	1.094	16.805
10-Sep-01	1.522	1.413	5.036	6.594	2.340	3.601	10.935
19-Sep-01	1.225	1.215	1.243	1.214	1.195	1.180	9.538
28-Sep-01	1.781	3.062	1.418	1.551	1.522	1.573	3.771
9-Oct-01	2.043	0.868	4.187	819.790	2.472	0.963	2.415
18-Oct-01	2.385	1.722	1.885	1136.962	1.144	2.079	1.735
24-Oct-01	1.970	1.824	1.832	2991.375	1.980	1.779	2.062
1-Nov-01	0.000	0.000	0.370	2348.109	0.000	0.000	0.266
16-Nov-01	1.231	1.814	1.112	696.869	1.197	1.097	0.266
27-Nov-01	0.930	0.904	0.954	938.863	0.992	1.496	1.526
7-Dec-01	4.652	3.132	1.243	144.828	2.196	2.238	5.175
18-Dec-01	4.130	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.119	1.419	0.000
14-Jan-02	0.000	0.000	0.461	4.208	0.000	0.389	0.000

Table 8. Surface Water Tritium Concentrations for 2001 (in picoCuries/Liter)

Sample Location	Bee Brook (B1)	Bee Brook (B2)	PPPL Basin (DSN001)
January			<211.6
February	**605**	<297.7	**535.3**
March			**265.8**
April			<200.5
May	<210	216.2	<201.3
June			**166.7**
July			<117.3 <131.2
August	**157.7**	<153.1	<131.2
September			**171.2**
October			**360.4**
November		<348.3	**121.64**
December			<792.6

Sample Location	D&R Canal (C1)	D&R Canal (DSN003)	Potable Water (E1)
January		<211.6	
February	<297.7	<297.7	<297.7
March		<137.7	
April		<200.5	
May	<210.3	<210.3	<210.3
June		<112.8	
July			
August	<131.2	<131.2	<153.1
September		<98.4	
October		<98.4	
November	<106.6	<106.6	
December		<792.6	

Sample Location	Millstone River (M1)	Cranbury Brook (P1)	Devil's Brook (P2)
January			
February	<297.7	<297.7	<297.7
March			
April			
May	<210.3	<210.3	<210.3
June			
July			
August	<131.2	<131.2 <153.1	
September			
October			
November	<106.6	<106.6	<106.6
December			

BOLD indicates above the level of detection.

Table 9. Ground Water Tritium Concentrations for 2001 (in picoCuries/liter)

Well No. or Sump Location	Well TW-1	Well TW-2	Well TW-3	Well TW-5	Well TW-8	Well MW-12S
January						
February	144.1	184.7	121.6	153.2		
March						
April						
May	153.2	148.6	184.7	144.1		
June						
July						
August	238.7			184.7		<105.4
September						
October						
November	**1679**			210.8	140.5	
December	577.5			144.6	698.2	

Well No.	Well MW-14	Well MW-16	Well D-12	Well D-11R	Air Shaft Sump	D-site MG Sump
January					130	198.2
February	<140.9	<93	<140.9	<140.9	261	360.4
March			112		234	292.8
April					292	346.8
May	<200.5	**500**	<200.5	<200.5		<210.3
June					274	333.3
July					274	274.8
August		<124.3			<121	148.6
September					180	157.76
October					265	256.86
November		<115			<270	<792.6
December					<79	<792.6

BOLD indicates highest concentrations above background levels.

Table 10. Rain Water Tritium Concentrations (in picoCuries/liter) Collected On-Site in 2001

250 feet from Stack	R1E (East)	R1W (West)	R1S (South)	R1N (North)	R1ND (Duplicate)
January 30	1306	995.5	1847	328.8	360.4
February 27	4279		**9383**	351.4	319.8
March 23	1216	247.7	9068	297.3	283.8
April 6	644.1	<252.2	4811	<252.2	<252.2
May 24	360.4	315.3	396.4	<157.4	<157.4
June 19	450.5	256.8	3144	243.2	153.2
August 15	3275	693.7	2829	1545	1383
October 5	662.2	982.00	1788	2977	2694

500 feet from Stack	R2E (East)	R2W (West)	R2S (South)	R2N (North)	R3N (Far field)
January 30	761.3	157.7	346.8	225.2	<104.1
February 27	2099	98.2	**14,870**	360.4	<103.1
March 23	360.4	324.3	2910	265.8	207.2
April 06	234.2	<252.2	2261	<157.4	<252.2
May 24	139.6	982.0	491.0	135.1	<157.4
June 19	130.6	310.8	1077	261.3	<103.1
August 15	1050	896.4	1968	2216	459.5
October 5	261.3	423.4	3811	3009	<103.5

BOLD indicates highest concentrations above background levels.

Table 11. Rain Water Tritium Concentrations (in picoCuries/liter) Collected Off-Site in 2001

	REAM 1	REAM 2	REAM 4	REAM 5	REAM 6	RW Baseline
January 25	108.1	99.1	117.1	148.6	121.6	
January 31			117.1			
February 8	<140.9			369.4		
March 7		<137.7		<137.7		
March 27		283.8		207.2		
April 17	<200.5	<137.7	<200.5			
May 20			<112.8			
May 22	<112.8			<112.8	<112.8	
May 30				<112.8	<112.8	
June 04	148.6					
June 19		<127.5		<127.5	<127.5	
July 03	<127.5					
July 23				<131.2		<131.2
August 24		<98.41		207.2	<98.4	
September 18		<105.4		<105.4		
November 28				<792.6		
December 18	<130.3	<130.3		138.3	145.5	

Table 12. Annual Range of Tritium Concentration at PPPL in Precipitation from 1985 to 2001

Year	Tritium Range picoCuries/Liter	Precipitation In Inches	Difference from Middlesex County Avg. Precipitation of 46.5 inches/yr
1985	40 to 160		
1986	40 to 140		
1987	26 to 144		
1988	34 to 105		
1989	7 to 90	55.4	+8.8
1990	14 to 94	50.3	+3.8
1991	10 to 154	45.1	-1.5
1992	10 to 838	41.9	-4.6
1993	25 to 145	42.7	-3.8
1994	32 to 1,130	51.3	+4.8
1995	<19 to 2,561	35.6	-10.9
1996	<100 to 21,140	61.0	+14.5
1997	131 to 61,660	42.0	-4.5
1998	<108 to 26,450	42.9	-3.6
1999	<58 to 7,817	47.3	+0.8
		(38.7 w/out Floyd)	(-7.8)
2000	<31 to 3,617	38.7	-7.8
2001	153 to 14,830	32.8	-13.7

Table 13. Liquid Effluent Collection Tank Release Data for 2001

Date	Gallons Released	COD (mg/l)	Tritium Sample LLD (pCi/L)	Tritium Sample Activity (pCi/L)	Total Tank Activity (Ci)	Annual Cumulative Activity (Ci)	Gross Beta Sample LLD (pCi/L)	Gross Sample Activity (pCi/L)
	6,000	753	235	66,800	0.00152	0.00152	194	
4/5/01	11,250	409	234	22,900	0.000976	0.002496	194	<194
	12,300	54.9	232	182,000	0.00847	0.010966	194	
6/13/01	12,750	46.4	229	283,000	0.0137	0.0246	194	884
	12,750	56.5	219	269,000	0.013	0.0376	194	
6/28/01	12,750	39.1	210	257,000	0.0124	0.05	194	705
	11,100	363	215	133,000	0.00561	0.0556	194	
8/8/01	12,750	108	212	84,000	0.00406	0.0597	194	<194
	12,750	41.4	225	118,000	0.0057	0.0654	194	
8/22/01	12,750	76.8	224	214,000	0.0103	0.0757	194	531
	11,100	24.4	198	170,000	0.00716	0.0828	194	
9/16/01	10,800	31.9	216	193,000	0.00787	0.0907	194	412
	11,100	45.7	203	95,400	0.00401	0.0947	195	
Total gallons	150,150							

Table 14. Surface Water Analysis for Bee Brook, B1, in 2001

	2/5/01	5/3/01	8/3/01	11/13/01*
Chemical Oxygen Demand, mg/L	27.9	<20.0	23.9	
eum hydrocarbons, mg/L	<0.50			
pH, standard units	6.13	6.99	6.44	
total, mg/L	<0.10	<0.10	0.257	
Temperature, ° C	3.0	18.6	20.8	
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L				
Total Suspended Solids, mg/L	<4.0	<4.0	6.00	
Total Organic Carbon	6.4	2.2		

Location B1 = Bee Brook upsteam of PPPL basin discharge
See Exhibit 4-3 for location.
*Note: No flow in Bee Brook @ B1 – no sample collected

Table 15. Surface Water Analysis for Bee Brook, B2, in 2001

Sample Date	2/5/01	5/3/01	8/3/01	11/13/01*
Chemical Oxygen Demand, mg/L	27.9	<20.0	15.0	
Petroleum hydrocarbons, mg/L	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	
pH, standard units	6.38	7.35	7.55	
Phosphorus, total, mg/L	<0.10	0.11	0.357	
Temperature, ° C	4.6	19.4	23.1	
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L				
Total Suspended Solids, mg/L	11.0	<4.0	2.0	
Total Organic Carbon	5.5	2.0		

Location B2 = Bee Brook downstream of PPPL basin discharge

*Note: No flow in Bee Brook @ B1 – no sample collected @ B2 – same results as DSN001

Table 16. Surface Water Analysis for Delaware & Raritan Canal, C1, in 2001

	2/5/01	5/3/01	8/3/01	11/13/01
Chemical Oxygen Demand, mg/L	<20.00	<20.0	<10.0	
eum hydrocarbons, mg/L	<0.500		<0.500	
pH, standard units	6.46	7.61	7.02	7.19
total, mg/L	<0.10	<0.10	0.157	0.0720
Temperature, °C	2.2	24.2	26.5	8.4
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L		.		
Total Suspended Solids, mg/L	<4.0	9.0	9.00	<2.00
Total Organic Carbon	7.7	2.2		2.54
Chlorine Produced Oxidants			0.12	

Location C1 = Delaware & Raritan Canal State Park at Mapleton Avenue, Plainsboro midway on pedestrian bridge
See Exhibit 4-3 for location.

Table 17. Surface Water Analysis for Millstone River, M1, in 2001

Sample Date	2/5/01	5/3/01	8/3/01	11/3/01
Chemical Oxygen Demand, mg/L	<20.00	<20.0	18.7	
Petroleum hydrocarbons, mg/L	<0.500		<0.500	
pH, standard units	6.56	7.06	6.89	6.80
Phosphorus, total, mg/L	<0.1	<0.10	0.182	<0.05
Temperature, °C	1.9	28.1	26.5	8.30
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L		.		
Total Suspended Solids, mg/L	<4.00	6.0	15.00	3.00
Total Organic Carbon	4.3	3.4		4.29

Location M1 = Millstone River at Delaware & Raritan Canal State Park at Mapleton Road,
mid-span on bridge across Millstone River
See Exhibit 4-3 for location.

Table 18. Surface Water Analysis for Elizabethtown Water, E1, in 2001

Sample Date	2/5/01	5/3/01	8/3/01	11/13/01
Chemical Oxygen Demand, mg/L	<20.0	<20.0	<10.0	<20
Petroleum hydrocarbons, mg/L	<0.50			<0.50
pH, standard units	6.37	7.03	6.84	
Phosphorus, total, mg/L	<0.10	0.14	0.232	0.13
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L				
Total Suspended Solids, mg/L	<4.0	<4.0	<2.00	<4.0
Total Organic Carbon	7.5	1.7		

Location E1 = Elizabethtown Water (potable) collected at Main Gate Security Booth

Table 19. Surface Water Analysis for Cranbury Brook (Plainsboro), P1, in 2001

Sample Date	2/5/01	5/3/01	8/3/01	11/13/01
Chemical Oxygen Demand, mg/L	<20.0	<20.0	<10.0	
Petroleum hydrocarbons, mg/L	<0.50		<0.500	
pH, standard units	5.46	6.61	6.32	6.85
Phosphorus, total, mg/L	0.13	<0.10	0.174	0.06
Temperature	0.8	26.6	23.9	7.20
Total Suspended Solids, mg/L	13.0	12.0	8.00	9.00
Total Organic Carbon	7.1	3.8	<10.00	3.78

Location P1 = Cranbury Brook at George Davison Road, Plainsboro mid-span on bridge southbound

Table 20. Surface Water Analysis for Devil's Brook (Plainsboro), P2, in 2001

Sample Date	2/7/01	5/3/01	8/3/01	11/13/01
Chemical Oxygen Demand, mg/L	67.6	30.1	<10.0	
Petroleum hydrocarbons, mg/L	<0.50		<0.500	
pH, standard units	5.90		6.09	7.19
Phosphorus, total, mg/L	<0.10	<0.10	0.257	<0.0500
Temperature, °C	3.7		22.90	5.40
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L				
Total Suspended Solids, mg/L	<4.0	46.0	96.0	4.00
Total Organic Carbon	4.8	11.7		1.95

Location P2 = Devil's Brook at Schalks Road overpass, adjacent to Amtrak railroad tracks

Table 21. Detention Basin Influents Analysis (NJPDES NJ0086029) in 2001

Location Sample Date	Inflow 1 5/3/01	Inflow 2 5/3/01
Ammonia-N, mg/L	0.22	0.14
Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5-day total, mg/L	<3.3	<3.3
Chemical Oxygen Demand, mg/L	<20.0	<20.0
Chromium, mg/L	<10.0	<10.0
Petroleum hydrocarbons, mg/L	<0.500	<0.53
pH, standard units	7.22	7.39
Phenolics, as phenol, mg/L	<0.00500	<0.00500
Settleable solids, mg/L	<0.200	
Temperature, °C	19.7	19.9
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L	191	207
Total Organic Carbon	1.4	<1.0

Inflow 1 = Detention basin influent located on western side of basin and Inflow 2 = Detention basin influent located on northern side of basin

Table 22. DSN001 - Detention Basin Outfall 2001 Surface Water Analysis (NJPDES NJ0023922)

Permit Limit	Units	Parameters	1/4/01	2/5/01	3/8/01	4/5/01	5/3/01	6/5/01
50 mg/L	mg/L	Chemical Oxygen Demand	<20	<20	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0
NL	mg/L	Chlorine Produced Oxidants as chlorine, free	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.16
NA	gpd	Flow	99.000	110,847	225,901	198,890	160,698	198,349
10 mg/L	mg/L	Petroleum Hydrocarbons	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.56	<0.57
6.0-9.0	S.U.	pH	7.41	7.15	7.50	6.94	7.55	7.19
	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total		0.15			0.17	
	μg/L	Tetrachloroethylene		1.5			1.4	
30 ◦C max.	◦C	Temperature	6.8	6.7	12.4	15.9	18.6	22.8
NA	mg/L	Total Dissolved Solids		190				
50 mg/L	mg/L	Total Suspended Solids	6.00	17.0	4.00	8.00	4.00	4.0
		Total Organic Carbon		1.4	2.0	1.8	1.3	1.4
		Hydrocarbons in H_2O		<0.50	<0.50			

Permit Limit	Units	Parameters	7/5/01	8/6/01	9/5/01	10/3/01	11/13/01	12/5/01
50 mg/L	mg/L	Chemical Oxygen Demand	48.0	<10.0	41.4	30.5	<20.00	<5
NL	mg/L	Chlorine Produced Oxidants as chlorine, free	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.27	0.21	0.20
100	percent	Chronic Toxicity Test NOEC (% effluent) *Pimephales promelas*					>100%	
NA	gpd	Flow	116,174	136,196	96,463	68,182	77,368	59,901
10 mg/L	mg/L	Petroleum Hydrocarbons	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500
6.0-9.0	S.U.	pH	8.20	8.17	7.22	8.15	8.63	8.07
	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total		0.274			0.196	
	μg/L	Tetrachloroethylene		1.13J			0.860	
30 ◦C max.	◦C	Temperature	21.3	23.0	20.4	20.5	12.9	13.0
NA	mg/L	Total Organic Carbon					3.05	
50 mg/L	mg/L	Total Suspended Solids	3.0	4.00	7.0	<1.00	2.00	<2.00

Blank indicates no measurement
NA = not applicable
NL = no limit
** Low flow due to 1) new flow meter installed that measures fulltime, and 2) little precipitation fell in December 2000.*

Table 23. D&R Canal Pump House - DSN003 2001 Monthly Surface Water Analysis (NJPDES NJ0023922)

Permit Monthly Avg.	Limit Daily Max.	Units	Parameters	1/4/01	2/5/01	3/8/01	4/5/01	5/3/01	6/5/01
NA	NA	mg/L	Chemical Oxygen Demand		<20.0			<20.0	
NL	NL	mg/L	Chlorine Produced Oxidants	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1
10 mg/L	15 mg/L	mg/L	Petroleum Hydrocarbons	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.54	<0.54
NA	6.0-9.0	S.U.	pH	6.59	6.44	8.10	6.52	7.52	6.43
NA		mg/L	Phosphorus, total		<0.10			0.19	
NA	NA	°C	Temperature	1.0	3.3	4.90	11.8	28.0	20.10
NA	NA	mg/L	Total Organic Carbon		3.6			2.2	
20 mg/L	60 mg/L	mg/L	Total Suspended Solids		<4.00			12.0	16.0
		mg/L	Hydrocarbons in $H_2 0$			<0.50			

Permit Monthly Avg.	Limit Daily Max.	Units	Parameters	7/5/01	8/3/01	9/7/01	10/3/01	11/13/01	12/5/01
NA	NA	mg/L	Chemical Oxygen Demand		<10.0				
NL	NL	mg/L	Chlorine Produced Oxidants	0.16	0.16	0.21	0.23	0.20	0.27
10 mg/L	15 mg/L	mg/L	Petroleum Hydrocarbons	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	2.20
NA	6.0-9.0	S.U.	pH	6.70	7.02	6.94	7.24	7.09	7.01
NA	NA	mg/L	Phosphorus, total		0.299			0.072	
NA	NA	° C	Temperature	26.6	26.0	24.6	17.4	7.3	10.5
NA	NA	mg/L	Total organic carbon					2.71	
NL	NL	mg/L	Total Suspended Solids	16.0	11.0			<2.00	

Flow = 250 gallons per minute X 2 minutes per cycle X 10 cycles per day = 5,000 gallons per day
** Permit changed from monthly to quarterly monitoring and no limit for Total suspended solids*
Blank indicates no measurement
NA = not applicable
NL = no limit

Table 24. Ground Water Analysis for Wells MW-14 for 2001

Well No. Date	MW-14 2/22/01	MW-14 5/7/01	NJPDES Permit Standard
Chloride, mg/L		<20.0	*250*
Conductivity, µmhos/cm^2	38.1	22.2	
Nitrate-Nitrogen, mg/L		1.9	*10*
pH, units	5.41	5.19	
Sulfate, mg/L	26.6	<20.0	*250*
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L	148	69.0	*500*
Tritium, pCi/L			

Blank indicates no measurement.

Table 25. Ground Water Analysis for Wells D-11R and D-12 for 2001

Well No. Date	D-11R 2/22/01	D-11R 5/7/01	D-12 2/22/01	D-12 5/7/01	*NJPDES Permit Standard*
Chloride, mg/L		<20.0		<20.0	*250*
Conductivity, µmhos/cm^2	324	301	251	256	
Nitrate-Nitrogen, mg/L		<0.11		<0.11	*10*
pH, units	6.58	6.22	5.56	5.74	
Sulfate, mg/L	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20	*250*
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L	202	181	96.0	144	*500*
Tritium, pCi/L					
VOA'S:					
1,1- Dichloroethane				1.6J	
Cis 1,2-Dichloroethelyne				1.3J	
Tetrachloroethelyne		5.3		2.6	
Trichloroethane				1.3	

Blank indicates no measurement.

Table 26. Ground Water Analysis for Wells TW-2 and TW-3 for 2001

Well No. Date	TW-2 2/9/01	TW-2 5/10/01	TW-3 2/9/01	TW-3 5/7/01	*NJPDES Permit Standards*
Chloride, mg/L		<20.0		<20.0	*250*
Conductivity, µmhos/cm^2	410	366	392	357	
Nitrate-Nitrogen, mg/L		<0.11		<0.11	*10*
pH, units	6.98	7.98	6.81	7.52	
Sulfate, mg/L	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	<20.0	*250*
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L	239	197	227	198	*500*
Tritium, pCi/L					

Blank indicates no measurement.

Table 27. Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results – February 2001
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (µg/L)

Well No.	MW-13S	MW-13I	MW-18	MW-19S	MW-19I	NJ GW Standard
Tetrachloroethylene	59.2	17.3	<1.0	118	<1.0	1
Trichloroethylene	1.4	<1.0	<1.0	6.2	<1.0	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	3.4J	1.3J	<5.0	33	<5.0	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	3.0J	1.6J	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	1.5J	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	2
Chloroform	0.86J	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	6
Carbon tetrachloride	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	2
Bromodichloromethane	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1
Acetone	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	4.8J	700
Tentatively Identified Compounds						
1,1,2-trichloro-trifluoroethane	16	1.3	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	--

Well No.	MW-22S	MW-23S	MW-24S	MW-25	MW-26*	NJ GW Standard
Tetrachloroethylene	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	58.8	1
Trichloroethylene	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.5	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	4.8J	3.3J	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.3J	<5.0	<50	<5.0	2.9J	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	1. 2 J	2
Chloroform	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	0.84J	6
Carbon tetrchloride	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	2
Bromodichloromethane	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1
Acetone	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	3.6J	<5.0	700
Tentatively Identified Compounds						
1,1,2-trichloro-trifluoroethane	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	15	--

Well No.	DSN001	MG-D Site Sump	TFTR Sump	Trip Blank 2/21/01	Trip Blank 2/22/01	NJ GW Standard
Tetrachloroethylene	1.2	50.7	4.1	<1	<1	1
Trichloroethylene	<1.0	3.6	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	<5.0	3.2J	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<5.0	1.1J	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<2.0	2.4	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	2
Chloroform	0.64J	<5.0	1.5J	<5.0	<5.0	6
Carbon tetrchloride	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	2
Bromodichloromethane	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1
Acetone	10	3.6	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	700
Tentatively Identified Compounds						
1,1,2-trichloro-trifluoroethane	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	--

N – Indicated presumptive evidence of the compound's presence

J- Estimated, concentration listed is below detection limit.

** MW-26 is duplicate sample from well MW-13S.*

Ground water quality standards as published in N.J.A.C. 7:9-6.9.

-- Compound-specific Ground Water Quality Standard not published.

Table 28. Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results – May 2001
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (µg/L)

Well No.	MW-13S	MW-13I	MW-18	MW-19S	MW-19I	NJ GW Standard
Tetrachloroethylene	84.1	13.7	0.68J	96.2	<1.0	1
Trichloroethylene	2.1	<0.30	<1.0	3.9	<1.0	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	5.2	<0.89	<5.0	15.6	<5.0	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2.8	1.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<2.0	<0.69	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	2
Chloroform	0.73	<0.60	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	6
Carbon Tetrachloride	<1.0	<0.67	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	2
Tentatively Identified Compounds						
Carbon Dioxide			110			

Well No.	MW-22S	MW-23S	MW-24S	MW-25	MW-26*	NJ GW Standard
Tetrachloroethylene	<0.91	<1.0	<0.91	<1.0	0.71J	1
Trichloroethylene	<0.30	<1.0	<0.30	<1.0	<1.0	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	<0.89	<5.0	<0.89	2.8 J	<5.0	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.78	<5.0	<0.78	<5.0	<5.0	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<0.69	<2.0	<0.69	<2.0	<2.0	2
Chloroform	<0.60	<0.60	NS	<5.0	<5.0	6
Carbon Tetrachloride	<0.67	<0.67	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	2
Bromodichloromethane				<1.0	<0.19	1

Well No.	DSN001	MG-D Site Sump	TFTR Sump	Trip Blank 5/7/01	Trip Blank 5/8/01	NJ GW Standard
Tetrachloroethylene	0.83J	44.4	2.4	<1.0	<0.91	1
Trichloroethylene	3.5	4.8	11.6	<1.0	<0.30	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.83J	3.0J	<5.0	<5.0	<0.89	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<5.0	1.2J	<5.0	<5.0	<0.78	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<0.69	2
Chloroform	1.8J	<5.0	1.6J	<5.0	<0.60	6
Carbon Tetrachloride	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<0.67	2
Bromodichloromethane	<1.0	<1.0	0.80J	<1.0	<0.19	1

NS – No sample collected, due to water level too low in well.

N – Indicated presumptive evidence of the compound's presence

J- Estimated, concentration listed is below detection limit.

** MW-26 is duplicate sample from well MW-19S.*

Ground water quality standards as published in N.J.A.C. 7:9-6.9.

-- Compound-specific Ground Water Quality Standard not published.

Table 29. Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results – August 2001
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (μg/L)

Well No.	MW-13S	MW-13I	MW-18	MW-19S	MW-19I	NJ GW Standard
Tetrachloroethylene	93.4	39.6	<0.700	145	<0.700	1
Trichloroethylene	2.84	<0.800	<0.800	7.09	<0.800	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	7.41NJ	<1.00	<1.00	21.6NJ	<1.00	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.75J	2.87	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	1.17	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	2
Chloroform	0.88	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	6
Carbon Tetrachloride	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	2
TIC						
Unknown		8.41J	34.3J			

Well No.	MW-22S	MW-23S	MW-24S	MW-25	MW-26*	NJ GW Standard
Tetrachloroethylene	<0.700	<0.700	<0.700	<0.700	91.2	1
Trichloroethylene	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	2.79	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	7.46NJ	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	3.19	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	1.51	2
Chloroform	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	0.84	6
Carbon Tetrachloride	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	2
Bromodichloromethane				<0.700	<0.700	1
TIC						
Unknown				5.67J	45.95J	

Well No.	DSN001	MG-D Site Sump	TFTR Sump	Trip Blank	Trip Blank	NJ GW Standard
Tetrachloroethylene	<0.700	75.9	3.12	<0.700	<0.700	1
Trichloroethylene	<0.800	4.84	0.99	<0.800	<0.800	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<0.800	1.13	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	2
Chloroform	<0.800	<0.800	4.34	<0.800	<0.800	6
Carbon Tetrachloride	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	2
Bromodichloromethane	<0.700	<0.700	<0.700	<0.700	<0.700	1
TIC						
Unknown		3.06J				

NS – No sample collected, due to water level too low in well.

N – Indicated presumptive evidence of the compound's presence

J- Estimated, concentration listed is below detection limit.

TIC – Tentatively Identified Compound

** MW-26 is duplicate sample from well MW-13S.*

Ground water quality standards as published in N.J.A.C. 7:9-6.9.

Table 30. Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results – December 2001
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (µg/L)

Well No.	MW-13S	MW-13I	MW-18	MW-19S	MW-19I	NJ GW Standard
Tetrachloroethylene	43.60	38.20	1.00	NS	<0.700	1
Trichloroethylene	1.89	<0.800	<0.800	NS	<0.800	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	4.86	<1.00	<1.00	NS	<1.00	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.20	3.11	<0.800	NS	<0.800	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	NS	<0.800	2
Chloroform	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	NS	<0.800	6
Carbon Tetrachloride	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	NS	<1.00	2

Well No.	MW-22S	MW-23S	MW-24S	MW-25	MW-26*	MW-27**	NJ GW Standard
Tetrachloroethylene	NS	NS	NS	1.28	42.5	<0.700	1
Trichloroethylene	NS	NS	NS	<0.800	1.76	<0.800	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	NS	NS	NS	5.00	5.01	<1.00	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NS	NS	NS	<0.800	1.08	<0.800	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	NS	NS	NS	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	2
Chloroform	NS	NS	NS	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	6
Carbon Tetrachloride	NS	NS	NS	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	2
Bromodichloromethane				<0.700	<0.700	<0.700	1

Well No.	DSN001	MG-D Site Sump	TFTR Sump	Trip Blank	Trip Blank	NJ GW Standard
Tetrachloroethylene	<0.700	76.2	2.13	<0.700	<0.700	1
Trichloroethylene	<0.800	4.94	<.0.800	<0.800	<0.800	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	<1.00	3.10	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.800	1.54	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<0.800	2.04	<0.800	<0.800	<0.800	2
Chloroform	<0.800	<0.800	2.91	<0.800	<0.800	6
Carbon Tetrachloride	<1.00	1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	2
Bromodichloromethane	<0.700	1.08	<0.700	<0.700	<0.700	1

NS – No sample collected, due to water level too low in well.

N – Indicated presumptive evidence of the compound's presence

J- Estimated, concentration listed is below detection limit.

TIC – Tentatively Identified Compound

** MW-26 is a duplicate sample from well MW-13S.*

***MW-27 is a duplicate sample from well MW-19I.*

Ground water quality standards as published in N.J.A.C. 7:9-6.9.

Table 31. Volatile Organics Analytical Results from Wells, D-11R, D-12 and TW-3, Detention Basin Inflows 1 and 2— May 2001 (in µg/L)

Well No. May 2001	D-11R	D-12	TW-3	Inflow 1	Inflow 2	Trip Blank	NJ GW Standard
1,1-Dichloroethane	<5.0	1.6 J	<5.0	<0.55	<0.55	<0.5	70
Chloroform	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	2.9	2.2	<0.8	6
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<5.0	<5.0	<.5.0	<0.78	<0.78	<0.6	30
Trichloroethylene	<1.0	1.3	<1.0	11.7	4.3	<0.3	1
Bromodichloromethane	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	0.87	0.65	<0.2	1
Tetrachloroethylene	5.3	2.6	<1.0	1.4	5.9	<0.4	0.4
Bromoform	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<0.53	<0.53	<0.3	4
Toluene	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	2.5	<0.62	<0.2	1,000
Cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		1.3J					

J - Estimated, concentration listed is below detection limit.

Table 32. Quality Assurance Data for Radiological and Non-Radiological Samples for 2001

Laboratory, Program, and Parameter	Reported Value	Actual Value	Acceptance Range
PPPL DOE-EML Tritium in water (Bequerel/Liter))			
QAP-54 (0103)	87.120	79.300	Not reported
QAP-54 (0109)	218.150	207.00	Not reported
ERA (picoCuries/Liter)			
RAD-36	15,500	17,800	14,700-20,900
RAD-42	2,600	2,730	2,110-3,350
PPPL Test Results- P0201			
Total residual chlorine (mg/L)	1.593	1.47	1.17-1.77
pH (S.U.)	8.69	8.77	8.51-9.03
Nitrate-nitrogen (mg/L)	25.650	30.0	23.8-35.6
Ortho-phosphate(mg/L)	2.853	1.72	1.46-2.00
Chemical oxygen demand (mg/L)	160.830	199	154-225
Specific conductance (µmhos/cm)	570.00	541	498-584
P0401			
Chemical oxygen demand (mg/L)	215.497	224	175-253
Specific conductance (µmhos/cm)	1030.00	1080	990-1170
pH (S.U.)	6.375	6.40	6.24-6.56
Total residual chlorine (mg/L)	3.528	3.21	2.64-3.78

Appendix

B

REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

Italics indicate Report in hard copy; otherwise, notice of Report availability *via* Web.
[#] copies, if more than one.

<u>*Domestic External Distribution:*</u>

Argonne National Laboratory (R. Kolzow)
Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory (E. Eckert Hickey)
Brookhaven National Laboratory (J. Naidu)
Congress (*Sen. J. Corzine, Sen. F. Lautenberg, Rep. R. Frelinghuysen, Rep. R. Holt*)
Congressional Information Service (P. Weiss)
DOE Chicago Field Operations (*M. Flannigan*)
DOE Office of Environmental Audit, *EH-24*
DOE Office of Environmental Policy and Analysis, EH-55 (*R. Natoli) [3*]
DOE Office of Environmental Guidance, *EH-23*
DOE Office of NEPA Project Assistance, *EH-25*
DOE Office of Science, SC-10 *(I. Thomas*), SC-50 (*A. Davies*), SC-55 (J. Willis), SC-83 (*V.Nguyen*) [2]
EPA/Region II (W. Muszynnski, J. Gorman)
DOE Princeton Area Office *(J. Balodis*) [2]
Fermilab (J. D. Cossairt)
Forrestal Development Center (R. Wolfe)
General Atomics (R. Savercool)
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (E. B. Hooper, A. Foster)
Idaho National Engineering & Environmental Laboratory (L. Cadwallader)
Massachusetts Institute of Technology (C. Fiore)
Middlesex County Health Department (A. Trimpet)
NJDEP, Bureau of Central Enforcement (J. Olko)
NJDEP, Bureau of Environmental Radiation (G. Nicholls)
NJDEP, Bureau of Groundwater Pollution Abatement (G. Nicholas)
NJDEP, Bureau of Hazardous Waste Management
NJDEP, Bureau of Planning and Site Assessment (L. Adams)
NJDEP, Bureau of Standard Permitting (M. Willis, M. Klewin, E. Szkoda)
NJDEP, Bureau of State Case Management (M. Walters)
NJOEM, Division of Law & Public Safety (C. Williams)
NUS Savannah River (J. Fulmer)
Oak Ridge National Laboratory (J. Glowienka)
Plainsboro Township (*R. Yake*)
Plainsboro Township Environmental Advisory Committee
Plainsboro Public Library
Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority (H. McLaughlin)
The Princeton Packet (W. Plump)
Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (C. Ficklen)

PPPL/Princeton University Distribution:

G. Ascione
J. W. Anderson
J. T. Bavlish
W. Blanchard
J. De Looper
C. Eisgruber
V. L. Finley
C. Gentile
R. J. Goldston
J. Graham
R. J. Hawryluk
J. C. Hosea
C. Kircher
J. D. Levin e
J. A. Malsbury
P. McDonough
T. J. McGeachen
G. H. Neilson
M. Ono
R. Ortego
C. A. Phillips
C. A. Potensky
N. R. Sauthoff
J. A. Schmidt
R. Sheneman
R. Shoe
T. Stevenson
S. Suryanarayan
W. Tang
A. White
M. A. Williams
E. H. Winkler
S. J. Zweben
PPPL Library

Distribution by PPPL Office of External Affairs:

Plasma Research Laboratory, Australian National University, Australia
Professor I. R. Jones, Flinders University, Australia
Professor Joao Cannalle, Instituto de Fisica DEQ/IF - UERF, Brazil
Mr. Gerson O. Ludwig, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas, Brazil
Dr. P. H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, Brazil
The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, England
Library, R61, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, England
Mrs. S. A. Hutchinson, JET Library, England
Professor M. N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique, France
Librarian, Max-Planck-Institdt für Plasmaphysik, Germany
Jolan Moldvai, Reports Library, MTA KFKI-ATKI, Hungary
Dr. P. Kaw, Institute for Plasma Research, India
Ms. Clelia De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA, Italy
Dr. G. Grosso, Instituto di Fisica del Plasma, Italy
Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, Japan
Library, Plasma Physics Laboratory, Kyoto University, Japan
Research Information Center, National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan
Dr. O. Mitarai, Kyushu Tokai University, Japan
Dr. I. Miura, Japan Atomic Research Institute, Ibaraki, Japan
Library, Academia Sinica, Institute of Plasma Physics, People's Republic of China
Shih-Tung Tsai, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China
Dr. S. Mirnov, Triniti, Troitsk, Russian Federation, Russia
Dr. V. S. Strelkov, Kurchatov Institute, Russian Federation, Russia
Professor Peter Lukac, Katedra Fyziky Plazmy MFF UK, Mlynska dolina F-2, Komenskeho Univerzita, SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia
Dr. G. S. Lee, Korea Basic Science Institute, South Korea
Mr. Dennis Bruggink, Fusion Library, University of Wisconsin, USA
Institute for Plasma Research, University of Maryland, USA
Librarian, Fusion Energy Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA
Librarian, Institute of Fusion Studies, University of Texas, USA
Librarian, Magnetic Fusion Program, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, USA
Librarian, Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Plasma Library, General Atomics, USA
Plasma Physics Group, Fusion Energy Research Program, University of San Diego, USA
Plasma Physics Library, Columbia University, USA
Alkesh Punjabi, Center for Fusion Research and Training, Hampton University, USA
Dr. W. M. Stacey, Fusion Research Center, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA

External Distribution

Plasma Research Laboratory, Australian National University, Australia
Professor I.R. Jones, Flinders University, Australia
Professor João Canalle, Instituto de Fisica DEQ/IF - UERJ, Brazil
Mr. Gerson O. Ludwig, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas, Brazil
Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, Brazil
The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, England
Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, England
Professor M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique, France
Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Germany
Jolan Moldvai, Reports Library, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Central Research Institute for Physics, Hungary
Dr. P. Kaw, Institute for Plasma Research, India
Ms. P.J. Pathak, Librarian, Institute for Plasma Research, India
Ms. Clelia De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA, Italy
Dr. G. Grosso, Instituto di Fisica del Plasma, Italy
Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, Japan
Library, Laboratory for Complex Energy Processes, Institute for Advanced Study, Kyoto University, Japan
Research Information Center, National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan
Dr. O. Mitarai, Kyushu Tokai University, Japan
Dr. Jiangang Li, Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China
Professor Yuping Huo, School of Physical Science and Technology, People's Republic of China
Library, Academia Sinica, Institute of Plasma Physics, People's Republic of China
Librarian, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China
Dr. S. Mirnov, TRINITI, Troitsk, Russian Federation, Russia
Dr. V.S. Strelkov, Kurchatov Institute, Russian Federation, Russia
Professor Peter Lukac, Katedra Fyziky Plazmy MFF UK, Mlynska dolina F-2, Komenskeho Univerzita, SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia
Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Science Institute, South Korea
Institute for Plasma Research, University of Maryland, USA
Librarian, Fusion Energy Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA
Librarian, Institute of Fusion Studies, University of Texas, USA
Librarian, Magnetic Fusion Program, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, USA
Library, General Atomics, USA
Plasma Physics Group, Fusion Energy Research Program, University of California at San Diego, USA
Plasma Physics Library, Columbia University, USA
Alkesh Punjabi, Center for Fusion Research and Training, Hampton University, USA
Dr. W.M. Stacey, Fusion Research Center, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Dr. John Willis, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences, USA
Mr. Paul H. Wright, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA

07/07/03

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated
by Princeton University under contract
with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Information Services
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
P.O. Box 451
Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2750
Fax: 609-243-2751
e-mail: pppl_info@pppl.gov
Internet Address: http://www.pppl.gov