

Variational Principle for Optimal Accelerated Neutralized Flow

A. Fruchtman

Holon Academic Institute of Technology, 52 Golomb St., P. O. Box 305,

Holon 58102, ISRAEL

N. J. Fisch

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University,

P. O. Box 451,

Princeton, NJ 08543, USA

(October 4, 2000)

Minimizing the energy deposited in the electron current in neutralized flows, such as in the Hall thruster, is examined. Modifying the electron current along the channel by inserting emitting electrodes, can enhance the efficiency. By employing variational methods, an optimal electron current distribution is found. The efficiency enhancement due to this effect, however, is shown to be small.

PACS numbers: 52.75.Di

I. INTRODUCTION

Electric propulsion for space vehicles utilizes electric and magnetic fields to accelerate a propellant to a much higher velocity than chemical propulsion does, and, as a result, the required propellant mass is reduced. Among electric propulsion devices Hall thrusters offer much higher thrust density than conventional ion thrusters. Hall thrusters now perform with efficiencies of 50% in the important range of jet velocities of 15,000-25,000 m/sec. Since the original ideas were introduced [1]— [7], Hall thrusters have enjoyed both experimental and theoretical progress. References to the Hall thruster research can be found in a parallel publication [8].

The Hall thruster employs a quasi-neutral plasma, and therefore is not subject to a space-charge limit on the current. The accelerated ion flow is neutralized by electrons that flow in a direction opposite to the direction of the ion acceleration. The neutralization results in an inefficiency, since part of the power is deposited in the electron current. This wasted power is reduced in the Hall thruster by reducing the electron current, that is achieved by imposing a radial magnetic field along the axial drift of the electrons. The magnetic field impedes the electron mobility. However, it is advantageous to look for different means of minimizing the power wasted in the electron flow. In this paper we theoretically explore such different means. We relax the condition that it is the cathode only, located at the exit of the acceleration channel, that emits electrons. Rather, we search for an optimal distribution of emitting electrodes along the channel so that the wasted power is minimal. The theoretical result could be tested experimentally as a basis for an improved Hall thruster configuration. However, as we show here, the efficiency enhancement due to such emitting electrodes in the acceleration region is very small. The idea of adding electrodes fits into our ongoing research of a configuration of the Hall Thruster, in which segmented side electrodes can either supply or absorb neutralizing electrons [9]. In our parallel publication [8] we have examined theoretically the case that an additional electrode absorbs electrons in the ionization zone, and have shown that it can significantly enhance the efficiency.

In Sec. II we present the model. In Sec. III we employ a variational method to find the optimal electron flow profile.

II. THE MODEL

Let us assume that the ions in a plasma are accelerated by an imposed potential drop, while electrons acquire a velocity in the opposite direction by the electric field, a velocity that is proportional to their mobility. For simplicity, we assume here a full ionization, and therefore neglect the processes of ionization and channel wall losses. If the plasma is quasi-neutral, the ion and the electron densities are equal:

$$\Gamma_i \left[\frac{m_i}{2Ze(\phi_A - \phi)} \right]^{1/2} = \frac{\Gamma_e}{\mu(x)(d\phi/dx)}. \quad (1)$$

Here Γ_i and Γ_e are the ion and electron particle fluxes, Ze and m_i are the ion charge and mass, μ is the electron mobility, ϕ is the potential and ϕ_A is the applied voltage. All quantities are assumed to depend on x only, the coordinate along the acceleration channel. The efficiency, the ratio of power absorbed by the accelerated ions to the total dissipated power by both ions and electrons, is:

$$\eta = \frac{Z\Gamma_i\phi_A}{Z\Gamma_i\phi_A + \int_0^L dx \Gamma_e(d\phi/dx)}. \quad (2)$$

Equations (1) and (2) are, in dimensionless form:

$$\Gamma_{eN} = \frac{1}{(1 - \psi)^{1/2}} \frac{d\psi}{d\zeta}, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\eta = \frac{1}{1 + \int_0^{\zeta^x} d\zeta \Gamma_{eN}(d\psi/d\zeta)}. \quad (4)$$

Here

$$\zeta \equiv \left(\frac{2Ze}{m_i\phi_A} \right)^{1/2} \int_0^x \frac{dx'}{\mu(x')}, \quad (5)$$

and $\zeta_T \equiv [2Ze/(m_i\phi_A)]^{1/2} \int_0^L dx/\mu(x)$. Also $\psi \equiv \phi/\phi_A$, where ϕ_A is the applied voltage, and $\Gamma_{eN} \equiv \Gamma_e/Z\Gamma_i$.

In the regular diode, when there are neither sources nor sinks, the ion and electron fluxes are constant along the channel. In this case we solve Eq. (3) with the boundary conditions $\Psi(0) = 1$ and $\Psi(1) = 0$ and obtain that

$$\Gamma_{eN,0} = -\frac{2}{\zeta_T}, \quad (6)$$

and that

$$\eta_0 = \frac{1}{1 - \Gamma_{eN,0}} = \frac{1}{1 + 2/\zeta_T}. \quad (7)$$

Also

$$\psi_0 = 1 - \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_T}\right)^2. \quad (8)$$

III. OPTIMAL ELECTRON FLOW PROFILE

We examine how modifying the profile of the electric potential can increase the acceleration efficiency. For such a modification to occur in a neutralized flow, the electron flow profile should be modified from being constant along the channel. Therefore, electrodes that act as electron sources or sinks should be added. In the Hall thruster the radial magnetic field lines intersect the radial channel walls, so that locating electrodes along the walls and injecting or absorbing electrons along magnetic field lines is possible.

Let us entertain the possibility of having an arbitrary electric potential profile that is monotonically decreasing from the anode towards the cathode, for which the value of the normalized voltage between the anode and the cathode is held unity. The electron flux density Γ_{eN} is now not constant, but rather its value is determined locally by Eq. (3). We search the optimal potential distribution, in which η is maximal. Thus, we look for the minimal value of the integral in the denominator of Eq. (4). We write this integral as

$$I = \int_0^{\zeta_T} d\zeta F(\psi, \psi'), \quad (9)$$

where

$$F(\psi, \psi') = \frac{(\psi')^2}{(1-\psi)^{1/2}}, \quad (10)$$

and $\psi' \equiv d\psi/d\zeta$. We require that Euler's equation

$$\frac{d}{d\zeta} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \psi'} \right) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \psi} = 0, \quad (11)$$

be satisfied. The optimal electric potential profile, the solution of Eq. (11) that satisfies the boundary conditions, is

$$\psi_{opt} = 1 - \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_T} \right)^{4/3}, \quad (12)$$

while the optimal electron flow profile is

$$\Gamma_{eN,opt} = -\frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{\zeta_T} \left(\frac{\zeta_T}{\zeta} \right)^{1/3}. \quad (13)$$

The efficiency of that optimal flow is found to be

$$\eta_{opt} = \frac{1}{1 + 16/(9\zeta_T)}. \quad (14)$$

Figure 1 shows the profiles of ψ_0 and of ψ_{opt} and Fig. 2 shows $\Gamma_{eN,opt}/\Gamma_{eN,0}$, both as functions of ζ/ζ_T . Figure 3 shows the ratio η_{opt}/η_0 as a function of ζ_T . As seen in Fig. 3, the efficiency enhancement is modest. The largest enhancement occurs for small ζ_T , and it is 9/8.

We note that in practice one can add a limited number of electrodes along the channel only. If, for example, we add one electrode only, we can specify the normalized location ζ_1 of that electrode and the normalized potential ψ_1 at which it is held. We denote the constant electron flow in the region $\zeta_1 > \zeta > 0$ by Γ_1 and the constant electron flow in the region $\zeta_T > \zeta > \zeta_1$ by Γ_2 . Employing Eq. (3), we derive the relations $\Gamma_1 \zeta_1 = -2(1 - \psi_1)^{1/2}$ and $\Gamma_2(\zeta_T - \zeta_1) = -2 + 2(1 - \psi_1)^{1/2}$. With these expressions for the electron flows $\Gamma_{1,2}$ the efficiency in this case η_1 becomes

$$\eta_1 = \frac{1}{1 - \Gamma_1(1 - \psi_1) - \Gamma_2\psi_1}. \quad (15)$$

The maximal efficiency with respect to ψ_1 and ζ_1 is found by solving $\partial\eta_1/\partial\psi_1 = 0$ and $\partial\eta_1/\partial\zeta_1 = 0$ for ψ_1 and for ζ_1 . We find then that the values that yield the maximal efficiency are $\psi_1 = 1 - (\sqrt{2} - 1)^2/9$ and $\zeta_1/\zeta_T = (\sqrt{2} - 1)^2/(2\sqrt{2} + 1)$. The efficiency in this case becomes

$$\eta_1 = \frac{1}{1 + 2(1 + 6\sqrt{2} + 16)/(27\zeta_T)}. \quad (16)$$

The maximal efficiency enhancement, when one electrode only is added, occurs also for small values of ζ_T , and is 1.0594 only.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Adding electron sources along the acceleration channel enables one to reduce the power that is wasted in heating the electrons and thus to increase the efficiency of the accelerator. We have shown here, though, that the efficiency increase due to this effect is small. Adding electrodes along the channel has other potential advantages, that are addressed elsewhere [8,9], such as increasing the ionization and energy utilizations, and improving the plume collimation.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research has been partially supported by the United States Air Force Office of Scientific Research, and by a Grant No. 9800145 from the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF), Jerusalem, Israel.

-
- [1] P. M. Morozov, in “Physics and Problems of Controlled Fusion” (USSR Academy of Science, Moscow, 1958), Vol. 4, pp 235-257 (in Russian).
- [2] G. S. Janes, J. Doston, and T. Wilson, in “Proceedings of the 3rd Symposium on Advanced Propulsion Concepts” (Gordon & Breach, New York - London, 1962), Vol. 1, pp 153 -173.
- [3] M. G. Haines, “The acceleration of a plasma by an electric field using the Hall effect”, Int. Conference on Ionization Phenomena in Gases, Paris 1963; R. J. Etherington and M. G. Haines, “Measurement of thrust in a linear Hall accelerator”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **14**, 1019 (1965).
- [4] Robert G. Jahn, “Physics of Electric Propulsion” (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968), Chap. 8.
- [5] A. I. Morozov, Yu. V. Esipchuk, G. N. Tilinin, A. V. Trofinov, Yu. A. Sharov, and G. Ya. Shahepkin, “Plasma acceleration with closed electron drift and extended acceleration zone”, Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys. **17**, 38 (1972).
- [6] A. I. Morozov, Yu. V. Esipchuk, A. M. Kapulkin, V. A. Nevrovskii, and V. A. Smirnov, “Effect of the magnetic field on a closed electron drift accelerator”, Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys. **17**, 482 (1972).
- [7] Yu. A. Sharov, and G. Ya. Shahepkin, “Plasma acceleration with closed electron drift and extended acceleration zone”, Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys. **17**, 38 (1972).
- [8] A. Fruchtman, N. J. Fisch, and Y. Raitses, “ Control of the electric field profile in the Hall thruster”, submitted to Phys. of Plasmas.
- [9] Y. Raitses, L. A. Dorf, A. A. Litvak, and N. J. Fisch, J. Appl. Phys. **88**, 1263 (2000).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 The profiles of ψ_0 and of ψ_{opt} as functions of ζ/ζ_T .

Fig. 2 The ratio $\Gamma_{\epsilon N,0}/\Gamma_{\epsilon N,opt}$ as a function of ζ/ζ_T .

Fig. 3 The ratio η_{opt}/η_0 as a function of ζ_T .





